

FRAUD CHART BY ATTORNEY

		1	2	3	4	5 to 12	13
		'bury key evidence'	'bury fraud'	'bury troublesome issues'	'set up escape hatch' for opposing side	'choke client' and methods of 'choking client'	'run for cover stories'
T	A	Popovich-Mast Hide Walgreens RX timestamps Hide Gagnon's admission of negligence Hide Gagnon and McGuire perjury during depositions Suppress evidence of intentional tort Bury Tilschner v Spangler Hide fact that 9 out of 10 depositions in underlying case have no valid certification pages Hide court reporter forgeries on certification pages Hide violations of bankruptcy laws like automatic stay and standing as plaintiff in pending cases Misrepresent Dulberg's standing as plaintiff Ignore bankruptcy trustee Heeg's role as plaintiff Dulberg's role as Residual Beneficiary of bankruptcy trust Hide Judge Meyer recusal based on personal friendship with Popovich	Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 1 Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 2		\$5,000 settlement Attempts to place 'upper cap' on value of case	12LA178 timeline and BK 14-83578 timeline compared here and here As demonstrated in Exhibit 1 , Popovich and Mast: - Redirected medical lien liability from the Defendants to Plaintiff - Forged documents and destroyed evidence (at least 15 examples) - Corrupted the interrogatory and document production process to sabotage client's case and to benefit defendants (in collaboration with opposing attorneys) - Suppressed information on mental health issues related to Dulberg's injury - Corrupted the deposition process to sabotage client's case and to benefit defendants in collaboration with opposing attorneys (9 out of 10 depositions have no valid certification pages) - Knew Defendant Gagnon effectively admitted to negligence for Dulberg's injury - Knew Defendant Gagnon committed perjury - Knew Defendant Carolyn McGuire committed perjury - Committed settlement fraud - Violated federal bankruptcy laws	Dulberg had weak case against McGuire's It was Dulberg's word against Gagnon's word (a) "Dulberg had separate legal counsel who represented him after we withdrew". (b) "If there had been legal malpractice, then Dulberg had counsel who could have advised him of his rights, with the applicable statute of limitations". ACTUAL POPOVICH-MAST COVER STORY: 2023-11-28_Popovich Reply ARDC.pdf
	T	Balke Hide Gagnon's admission of negligence Misrepresent Dulberg's standing as plaintiff Ignore Bankruptcy trustee's standing as plaintiff Dulberg's role as Residual Beneficiary of bankruptcy trust Hide violations of bankruptcy laws like automatic stay and standing as plaintiff in pending cases	Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 1 Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 2	Hide fact that 9 out of 10 depositions have no valid certification pages	Attempt to place 'upper cap' on value of case	12LA178 timeline and BK 14-83578 timeline compared here and here As described in Exhibit 2 , Balke: - Contracted with Dulberg (who Balke knew had no standing as plaintiff) and not with the Bankruptcy Trustee (who had standing as plaintiff) - Told Dulberg (about 11 weeks later) he would withdraw counsel if Dulberg does not settle with Allstate for \$50,000 - Violated federal bankruptcy laws	Summary of accusations against Dulberg in Exhibit 4 (p 69) Table 6, Column 1 (a) "Dulberg had separate legal counsel who represented him after we withdrew". (b) "If there had been legal malpractice, then Dulberg had counsel who could have advised him of his rights, with the applicable statute of limitations". ACTUAL BALKE COVER STORY: 2024-03-25_Balke response to ARDC complaint.pdf
	O	Baudins Hide Gagnon's admission of negligence Misrepresent Dulberg's standing as plaintiff Ignore Bankruptcy trustee's standing as plaintiff Ignore bankruptcy trustee Heeg Dulberg's role as Residual Beneficiary of bankruptcy trust 3 different attempts to place 'upper cap' on value of case Hide violations of bankruptcy laws like automatic stay and standing as plaintiff in pending cases Hide source of 'upper cap' Hide the prime movers behind 'binding mediation' Hide fact that 9 out of 10 depositions in underlying case have no valid certification pages Evidence of Gooch and Clinton concealment and cover of previous fraud as described in Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5	Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 1 Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 2	Hide applicable Illinois case law (Suburban Real Estate and related cases) Forced binding mediation agreement with defendant Allstate alone by 2016-08-10 in violation of automatic stay Placed 'upper cap' on value of case with defendant Allstate alone in violation of automatic stay	Helped set up 2 year Sol. (Statute of Limitations) defense for Popovich, Mast, and Balke Forced binding mediation agreement with defendant Allstate alone by 2016-08-10 in violation of automatic stay Placed 'upper cap' on value of case with defendant Allstate alone in violation of automatic stay	12LA178 timeline and BK 14-83578 timeline compared here and here As demonstrated in Exhibit 3 , the Baudins: - Contracted with Dulberg (who Baudins knew had no standing as plaintiff) instead of with the Bankruptcy Trustee (who had standing as plaintiff) - Knew or should have known Defendant Gagnon effectively admitted to negligence for Dulberg's injury as early as March, 2013 - Moved to cap the value of PI case 12LA178 (with defendants Allstate alone) - Closed the deal with an upper cap of \$300,000 (in violation of the automatic stay) - Coerced Dulberg to agree and misinformed him of where the 'upper cap' came from - Moved to contract with Bankruptcy Trustee only after capping value of 12LA178 - Misled Bankruptcy Judge that Dulberg wanted Binding Mediation (about 11 weeks after the deal was closed)	ANTICIPATED: (a) "Dulberg had separate legal counsel who represented him after we withdrew". (b) "If there had been legal malpractice, then Dulberg had counsel who could have advised him of his rights, with the applicable statute of limitations". Barton Doctrine Dulberg has no possession of claim ACTUAL BAUDINS COVER STORY: Baudins intentionally misrepresented at least 7 features of BK-83578 to Illinois Supreme Court (through ARDC). Detailed description in this video and this video
R	Gooch-Walczyk Hide Tilschner v Spangler Hide Gagnon's admission of negligence Meyer recusal Ignore Bankruptcy records Ignore bankruptcy trustee Heeg Misrepresent Dulberg's standing as plaintiff Hide source of 'upper cap' Hide the prime movers behind 'binding mediation' Hide Judge Meyer recusal based on personal friendship with Popovich Evidence of Gooch and Clinton concealment and cover of previous fraud as described in Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5	Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 1 Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 2 Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 3	Meyer recusal Bankruptcy Multiple issues listed in the appeal application Hide Gagnon's admission of negligence Hide applicable Illinois case law (Suburban Real Estate and related cases) Hide fact that 9 out of 10 depositions in underlying case have no valid certification pages	Helped set up 2 year Sol. (Statute of Limitations) 'escape hatches' for all PI attorneys listed above as described in Exhibit 4	Locked-in hidden strategy of 17LA377 Trial Handbook for Illinois Lawyers - Legal Malpractice Detailed description of Gooch-Walczyk point of issuance sabotage (twice) of Dulberg's claims in this video Total court statements on tolling statute of limitations here and here Total court statements on BK 14-83578: 0 Total court statements on source of 'upper cap' on value of 12LA178 As demonstrated in Exhibit 4 , Gooch-Walczyk and Clinton-Williams 'teamed-up' to: - Conceal key evidence in collaboration with each other (Tilschner v Spangler certified slip ruling) - Conceal admission of negligence of Defendant Gagnon for Dulberg's injury in underlying case 12LA178 in collaboration with each other - Conceal Bankruptcy and Violations of Federal Bankruptcy Laws (automatic stay, loss of standing to pursue claim, capping value of assets in BK estate, etc) in collaboration with each other 12LA178 timeline and BK 14-83578 timeline compared - Conceal true sources of \$300,000 upper cap on the value of the PI claim in collaboration with each other	Dulberg had weak case against Popovich and Mast Dulberg claimed he "first knew" of his "injury" at his first meeting with Gooch, which turned out to be incorrect. (a) "Dulberg had separate legal counsel who represented him after we withdrew". (b) "If there had been legal malpractice, then Dulberg had counsel who could have advised him of his rights, with the applicable statute of limitations".	
		Clinton-Williams Hide Gagnon's admission of negligence Hide Tilschner v Spangler Suppress evidence of intentional tort Ignore Bankruptcy records Misrepresent Dulberg's standing as plaintiff Ignore bankruptcy trustee Heeg Hide source of 'upper cap' Hide the prime movers behind 'binding mediation' Hide Judge Meyer recusal based on personal friendship with Popovich Hide applicable Illinois case law (Suburban Real Estate and related cases) Hide fact that 9 out of 10 depositions in underlying case have no valid certification pages Evidence of Gooch and Clinton concealment and cover of previous fraud as described in Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5 Clinton-Williams sophisticated system of document and information suppression and collaboration with opposing counsel as described in Exhibit 5	Gooch and Clinton concealment and cover Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 1 Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 2 Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 3	Multiple issues listed in the appeal application	Helped set up 2 year Sol. (Statute of Limitations) 'escape hatches' for all PI attorneys listed above as described in Exhibit 5	Locked-in hidden strategy of 17LA377 Suppressing key evidence here and here and here and described in this video and this video Suppressing evidence of intentional tort Systematic document and information suppression here and here and here and in this video Email suppression here and here and here and in this video Total court statements on BK 14-83578: 1 As demonstrated in Exhibit 5 , Clinton-Williams worked to: - Conceal Dulberg's bankruptcy (from the 17LA377 Common Law Record and Reports of Proceedings) - Suppress emails from Saul Ferris - Suppress key evidence (Tilschner v Spangler certified slip ruling) - Suppress large numbers of emails from Brad Balke - Collaborate with opposing attorney to flood Dulberg with over 6,000 documents just before Clinton-Williams withdrew as Dulberg's counsel - Suppress all information on what the Baudins did to Dulberg - Suppress evidence that Defendant Gagnon effectively admitted negligence for Dulberg's injury as early as March, 2013. - Collaborate with opposing counsel to suppress Barch documents before Dulberg's deposition - Collaborate with opposing counsel to weaken verification pages of discovery production - Collaborate with opposing counsel during the deposition of Hans Mast and after Cannot introduce evidence of fraud on the court in 12LA178 and 17LA377 to Judge (even though it is critical to know in order to make an accurate decision)	(a) "Dulberg had separate legal counsel who represented him after we withdrew". (b) "If there had been legal malpractice, then Dulberg had counsel who could have advised him of his rights, with the applicable statute of limitations". ACTUAL CLINTON-WILLIAMS COVER STORY: 2023-11-01_R_in_itr_c_r_it_response_-_Clinton_et_al_PDF
E	Talarico Clinton-Williams sophisticated system of document and information suppression and collaboration with opposing counsel as described in Exhibit 5 Evidence of Gooch and Clinton concealment and cover of previous fraud as described in Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5 Hide Judge Meyer recusal based on personal friendship with Popovich Hide fact that 9 out of 10 depositions in underlying case have no valid certification pages Hide forgeries Misrepresent Dulberg's standing as plaintiff Suppress evidence of intentional tort	Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 3 Bury all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 4 Avoid then distort all information in this table: " Charlie Brown " Table 2	Bankruptcy Multiple issues listed in the appeal application	Helped set up 2 year Sol. (Statute of Limitations) as shown in Visual Aid 24 and as described in Exhibit 6 Helped set up Barton Doctrine defense for defendants	Locked-in hidden strategy of 17LA377 Complaint shaved and inserted into frivolous lawsuit template Shaved features of the 12-8-2022 filed complaint omitted same 7 features that Baudins intentionally misrepresented to Illinois Supreme Court (through ARDC) on 6-7-2024. This mapping and this video show how body of complaint was shaved. Pathway sabotage mappings How claims over actions in PI and BK underlying cases are filtered Higher court sabotage mappings Appeal notification sabotage Supreme Court Petition sabotage Talarico higher court sabotages are described in detail in this video and this video and this video and this video . As described in detail in Exhibit 6 , Talarico: Did not introduce evidence of fraud on the court in 12LA178 and 17LA377 or of Clinton-Williams sophisticated system of document and information suppression or of Clinton-Williams collaboration with opposing counsel to any presiding Judge (even though it is critical to know in order to make an accurate decision) Allowed defendants to be dismissed on 2 year statute of limitations grounds while never raising evidence of Clinton-Williams sophisticated system of document and information suppression or Clinton-Williams collaboration with opposing counsel to any presiding Judge Played 'hoaxes' on Dulberg and planted 'time-bombs' in Dulberg's efforts to appeal in the 2nd Appellate Court: Dulberg lost the right to know if Judges or the clerk grant or deny an order Dulberg lost the right to know which Judges are involved in granting or denying an order (if any) so Dulberg lost the right to ask for recusal of any Judge Dulberg lost the right to supplement the record with Meyer recusal information Dulberg lost the right to supplement the record with bankruptcy information Dulberg lost the right to appeal multiple issues listed in the appeal application Dulberg lost the right to file an appeal	Withdrew as counsel under strange circumstances 6 days after Dulberg's Supreme Court Petition was due as described in Exhibit 6 . ACTUAL TALARICO COVER STORY: 2024-04-30_Talarico response to ARDC complaint.pdf	
Y							