TABLE 2: CHARLIE BROWNING PERMANENTLY DISABLED TARGET IN BK 14-83578 **BAUDINS** ALLSTATE **OLSEN MEYER**

the red line is when Dulberg declared bankruptcy
the yellow line is when Olsen is appointed trustee of the bankruptcy estate
the green box is when defendant Gagnon effectively admitted he was at fault for Dulberg's injury
the yellow region is where Megan Heeg was bankruptcy trustee

"Charlie Brown" Table for 12LA178 shows how much activity took place in 12LA178 after Dulberg declared bankruptcy but before Olsen was appointed bankruptcy trustee on 09-01-2022. There is no evidence that Heeg knew of the activity

Dulberg declares bankruptcy. Megan Heeg is appointed trustee of bankruptcy estate.

and the attempts to place an 'upper cap' on the value of 12LA178.

12LA178 timeline compared with BK 14-83578 timeline In the graphic:

2014-11-26

BK 14-83578 timeline

1

2

		the yellow region is where Megan Heeg was bankruptcy trustee the reddish region is where Joseph Olsen was bankruptcy trustee			
3	2016-08-31			Olsen was appointed bankruptcy trustee by U.S. Trustee Lang.	
4	2013-03-01 onward	5 different law firms retained by Dulberg all knew or should have known that Defendant Gagnon effectively admitted negligence for Dulberg's injury as of early March, 2013 when Allstate attorney Accardo did not file any answer to the CROSS-CLAIM because the information was contained in the common law record of 12LA178 and is easily available.	as of early March, 2013 when Allstate attorney Accardo did not file any answer to the CROSS-CLAIM because the information was contained in the common law record of 12LA178 and is easily available.		
5	2016-06-13 onward	The Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Bankruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was not informed that Defendent Allstate, working only with the Baudins (while representing nobody with a void appearance) created the 'upper cap' between July 11, 2016 and July 21, 2016 at the 22nd Judicial Circuit Court in violation of the automatic stay.	2016 and July 21, 2016 at the 22nd Judicial Circuit Court in violation of the automatic stay.	The Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Bankruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was not informed that Defendent Allstate, working only with the Baudins (while representing nobody with a void appearance) created the 'upper cap' between July 11, 2016 and July 21, 2016 at the 22nd Judicial Circuit Court in violation of the automatic stay.	
6	2016-09-26	The Baudins moved to contract with Bankruptcy Trustee Olsen only after capping value of 12LA178. It is not known if the Baudins ever moved to contract with the previous bankruptcy trustee Heeg.		It is not possible that Bank-ruptcy Trustee Olsen did not know that the Baudins put effort toward the crafting of the ADR proposal, along with Allstate and ADR Corporation. It is not possible that Bankruptcy Trustee Olsen did not know these parties did this work while the case was under automatic	
7	2015-11-06 onward	The Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Bankruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was never informed that the 22nd Judicial Circuit Court continued to have hearings and issue orders on the case for almost 2 years (since November 26, 2014 when Dulberg declared bankruptcy) in violation of the automatic stay.	The Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Bankruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was never informed that the 22nd Judicial Circuit Court continued to have hearings and issue orders on the case for almost 2 years (since November 26, 2014 when Dulberg declared bankruptcy) in violation of the automatic stay.	The Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Bankruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was never informed that the 22nd Judicial Circuit Court continued to have hearings and issue orders on the case for almost 2 years (since November 26, 2014 when Dulberg declared bankruptcy) in violation of the automatic stay.	
8	2016-10-04 onward			It is not possible that Bankruptcy Trustee Olsen did not know that the ADR capped Binding Mediation Agreement, limiting the maximum recovery, was not in keeping with the spirit of his duty to maximize the value of the	
9	2016-10-31	The Baudins misled Bankruptcy Judge that Dulberg wanted Binding Mediation (about 11 weeks after the deal was closed)		Trustee Olsen misled Bankruptcy Judge that Dulberg wanted Binding Mediation (about 11 weeks after the deal was closed against Dulberg's will)	
10		Since all creditors were paid in full, Dulberg was the sole residual beneficiary of the bankruptcy estate. (Exhibit 3, p31, paragraph 199)	residual beneficiary of the bankruptcy estate. (Exhibit 3, p31, paragraph 199)	Since all creditors were paid in full, Dulberg was the sole residual beneficiary of the bankruptcy estate. (Exhibit 3, p31, paragraph 199)	
11	2014-11-28 onward 2014-11-28 onward	Allstate, the Baudins and Trustee Olsen knew Dulberg had no standing to pursue the case 12LA178 while the case was under an automatic stay. Allstate, the Baudins and Trustee Olsen all knew the	Allstate, the Baudins and Trustee Olsen knew Dulberg had no standing to pursue the case 12LA178 while the case was under an automatic stay. Allstate, the Baudins and Trustee Olsen all knew the	Allstate, the Baudins and Trustee Olsen knew Dulberg had no standing to pursue the case 12LA178 while the case was under an automatic stay. Allstate, the Baudins and Trustee Olsen all knew the	
13	onward	case 12LA178 proceeded in the Circuit Court in violation of the automatic stay. Allstate, the Baudins and Trustee Olsen all knew that Dulberg was the sole	case 12LA178 proceeded in the Circuit Court in violation of the automatic stay. Allstate, the Baudins and Trustee Olsen all knew that Dulberg was the sole residual	case 12LA178 proceeded in the Circuit Court in violation of the automatic stay. Allstate, the Baudins and Trustee Olsen all knew that Dulberg was the sole	
14		residual beneficiary of the bankruptcy estate. (Exhibit 3, p31, paragraph 199) The Baudins were approved and hired as Special Counsel for the Estate and in such a capacity had no standing to execute a Binding Mediation Agreement for the DEBTOR.	beneficiary of the bankruptcy estate. (Exhibit 3, p31, paragraph 199)	residual beneficiary of the bankruptcy estate. (Exhibit 3, p31, paragraph 199) Trustee Olsen received permission from the Bankruptcy court to enter into the proposed Binding Mediation Agreement and later made a choice to not act and sign on the advice of his special counsel the Baudins. Trustee Olsen did not "pursue" and "exercise"	
15	2016-10-31	The Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Ban- ruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was told the reason for the upper cap on the value of 12LA178 is because Dul- berg "wants it that way"		control "over the claim/ asset and in doing so Abandoned the asset and it reverted back to the DEBTOR. The Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Ban- ruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was told the reason for the upper cap on the value of 12LA178 is because Dul- berg "wants it that way"	
16	2016-10-31	because Dulberg "wouldn't be a good witness". The contract which trustee Olsen gave the Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Bankruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was void but the Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch was never informed of this. (Re Enyeti)		because Dulberg "wouldn't be a good witness". The contract which trustee Olsen gave the Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Bankruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was void. (Re Enyeti) Olsen knew it was void when he gave it to the Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch as Exhibit "A" attached to the MOTION TO EMPLOY SPECIAL COUNSEL but the Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch was never informed of this.	
17	2016-10-31	The Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Bank- ruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was not told that Defendent Allstate, working only with the Baudins (while repre- senting nobody) created an 'upper cap' between July 11, 2016 and July 21, 2016 at the circuit court in violation of the automatic stay.	working only with the Baudins (while representing nobody) created an 'upper cap' between July 11, 2016 and July 21, 2016 at the circuit court in violation	The Honorable Judge Thomas M. Lynch in Bank- ruptcy Petition #: 14-83578 was not told that Defend- ent Allstate, working only with the Baudins (while representing nobody) cre- ated an 'upper cap' between July 11, 2016 and July 21, 2016 at the circuit court in violation of the automatic stay.	
18	2016-12-12 2016-12-12 2016-12-12	Dulberg's signature was forged onto the ADR Binding Mediation Agreement Dulberg was coerced into signing Allstate Release Affidavit There can be no agreement	Dulberg's signature was forged onto the ADR Binding Mediation Agreement Dulberg was coerced into signing Allstate Release Affidavit There can be no agreement be-	Dulberg's signature was forged onto the ADR Binding Mediation Agreement Dulberg was coerced into signing Allstate Release Affidavit There can be no agreement	
21	2010-12-12	between Allstate and the Baudin Defendants acting as counsel for the bankruptcy estate to have the case dismissed with prejudice in the circuit court on 12/12/2016 since the Baudins failed to file any appearance anywhere that is not VOID and had no standing since they did not represent the DEBTOR.	tween Allstate and the Baudin Defendants acting as counsel for the bankruptcy estate to have the case dismissed with prejudice in the circuit court on 12/12/2016 since the Baudins failed to file any appearance anywhere that is not VOID and	between Allstate and the Baudin Defendants acting as counsel for the bankruptcy estate to have the case dismissed with prejudice in the circuit court on 12/12/2016 since the Baudins failed to file any appearance anywhere that is not VOID and had no standing since they did not represent the DEBTOR.	
22	2022-09-26	All 3 PI attorneys retained by Dulberg were opposing counsel to Allstate yet acted in ways that were favorable to Allstate. Timeline of Dulberg first discovering problem with BK court statements	Timeline of Dulberg first discovering problem with BK court statements	All 3 PI attorneys retained by Dulberg were opposing counsel to Allstate yet acted in ways that were favorable to Allstate. Timeline of Dulberg first discovering problem with BK court statements	
23	2022-12-08	Dulberg attempted to file claims against the Baudins and Olsen on the information Dulberg first discovered on 9-26-2022. Talarico sabotaged claims in the way shown here. How the shaved portions of the 12-8-2022 filed complaint omit the same 7 features that Baudins intentionally misrepresented to Illinois Supreme Court (through ARDC) on 6-7-2024 are shown in this mapping and this video Pathway sabotage mappings	Complaint shaved and inserted into frivolous lawsuit template Pathway sabotage mappings	Pathway sabotage mappings	
24	2024-06-07	Baudins intentionally misrepresented at least 7 features of BK-83578 to Illinois Supreme Court (through ARDC). Described in detail in this video and this video	Baudins intentionally misrepresented at least 7 features of BK-83578 to Illinois Supreme Court (through ARDC). Described in detail in this video and this video	Baudins intentionally misrepresented at least 7 features of BK-83578 to Illinois Supreme Court (through ARDC). Described in detail in this video and this video	
25		"Charlie Brown" Table for 17LA377 show the efforts made by Dulberg's retained legal malpractice attorneys to conceal irregular activities in BK 14-83578	"Charlie Brown" Table for 17LA377 show the efforts made by Dulberg's retained legal malpractice attorneys to conceal irregular activities in BK 14-83578 from Dulberg	"Charlie Brown" Table for 17LA377 show the efforts made by Dulberg's retained legal malpractice attorneys to conceal irregular activities in BK 14-83578	

"Charlie Brown" Table for 22L010905 shows how Talarico treated Dulberg's

from Dulberg

interests

"Charlie Brown" Table for 22L010905 shows how Talarico treated Dulberg's interests

to conceal irregular activities in BK 14-83578 from Dulberg

"Charlie Brown" Table for 22L010905 shows how Talarico treated Dulberg's

interests