1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2)
3	COUNTY OF McHENRY)
4	IN THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
5	McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS
6	PAUL DULBERG,
7	Plaintiff,)
8	vs.) No. 17 LA 377
9	THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J.)
10	POPOVICH, P.C., and HANS MAST,)
11	Defendants.)
12	ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED REPORT OF
13	PROCEEDINGS had in the above-entitled cause before
14	the Honorable THOMAS A. MEYER, Judge of said Court
15	of McHenry County, Illinois, on the 21st day of
16	December, 2022, at the McHenry County Government
17	Center, Woodstock, Illinois.
18	
19	APPEARANCES:
20	LAW OFFICE OF ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, by MR. ALPHONSE A. TALARICO (via Zoom),
21	On behalf of the Plaintiff,
22	KARBAL COHEN ECONOMOU SILK DUNNE, LLC, by
23	MR. GEORGE K. FLYNN (via Zoom),
24	On behalf of the Defendants.

THE COURT: For the record, this is on Dulberg versus Mast.

Plaintiff's counsel, if you could identify yourself.

MR. TALARICO: Yes, your Honor. My name is Alphonse Talarico.

THE COURT: And defendant.

MR. FLYNN: Good morning, your Honor. George Flynn for the defendants.

THE COURT: And for the record, I -- Mr. Dulberg is in attendance, and once again, I hope we're not being recorded. That being said, we'll move on to the motion.

Plaintiff, you have a motion.

MR. TALARICO: Yes, Judge. I'd like to -- we filed our motion -- we filed -- counsel filed a response, we filed a reply. We'd like to rely on that, with one additional presentation or request of the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. What is that?

MR. TALARICO: I'd like to offer an informal proof -- an offer of proof, which is the exact and -- and -- what is the best way -- the audio transmission of the deposition, in full, with all

the parties, which was sent to us through a 1 2 subpoena. THE COURT: Okay. You mean from Mr. Mast's --3 4 or Mast's -- deposition? 5 MR. TALARICO: Correct. Everything that -- that 6 would help the Court understand all of these 7 problems. Because you --THE COURT: Well, we do have -- we have the 8 9 transcript. 10 MR. TALARICO: Yes, your Honor, but the transcript is selective. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Flynn, comment. 13 I would object, Judge. MR. FLYNN: 14 plaintiff has already filed a second amended motion 15 and response. He's had plenty of opportunity to bring this to the Court's attention. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Talarico, final word. MR. TALARICO: Judge, this is for the aid to --18 19 for the Court -- this Honorable Court to understand 20 what's going on. 21 As to the second amended, I replied to 22 that, that -- the only difference between the 23 amended and the second amended, Judge, was the stamp

on Exhibit 17 didn't show up, so I re-filed it.

24

1 it was filed timely. As soon as I realized the 2 stamp didn't show up on Exhibit 17, I re-filed it 3 with an emphasis on the Exhibit 17. Mr. Flynn 4 received it on time with notification that it's 5 Exhibit 17. THE COURT: Well, that's not the issue, but the 6 7 issue is --It's not. And just for the record, 8 MR. FLYNN: 9 there was a typo in my response brief. I wasn't 10 taking issue with the timing of the filing of the second amended, other than the fact that it's two 11 12 years after the deposition. 13 But that -- that's a different --THE COURT: MR. FLYNN: 14 But --15 That's a different issue. THE COURT: 16 MR. FLYNN: Exactly. 17 THE COURT: The first issue is whether or not to -- the Court will accept plaintiff's request to 18 19 submit the audio of the deposition at issue of 20 Mr. Mast that, I believe, was taken June 25th, 2020. 21 And, Mr. Talarico, anything else to say in 22 support of that oral motion? 23 MR. TALARICO: No, Judge. That -- that's -- if 24 you'll accept it, it's in the -- I believe

Mr. Dulberg is in the courtroom, and if he may
approach the bench, he will hand it to you.

THE COURT: No, he's on Zoom. In any event, I'm
going to deny the -- oh, are you -- Come on up.

MR. SCOTT DULBERG: Do you mind if I just hand it here?

THE COURT: Well, no, you're going to come up.

I'm not going to accept that.

Your name, sir?

MR. SCOTT DULBERG: Scott Dulberg.

THE COURT: Okay. And you're here to deliver a Zip drive of the audio; am I correct?

MR. SCOTT DULBERG: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And I -- But I'm going to rule on plaintiff's motion. I'm not going to accept it. I'm not going to consider it for purposes of the hearing on this motion. So you can keep it, but thank you for -- for showing up and bringing it.

MR. SCOTT DULBERG: Thank you.

THE COURT: Because -- I'm not going to accept it because I don't think it adds, materially, to my understanding of what transpired. I've got the deposition transcript. I've read the parts that I

believe are relevant. I've read the motions. I'veheard this argument.

I don't think that the audio tran- -- the audio recording is going to assist me in any way, based upon my understanding of what the ultimate issue is on this motion.

So that will be denied, and we'll incorporate that into the motion -- or in the order. I'm sorry.

Moving on to the motion, this is plaintiff's motion to either re-depose or strike, or both, the deposition of Mr. Mast taken June 25th, 2020; am I correct?

MR. TALARICO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And, further, am I correct that the -- the reason for this is issues with respect to what's identified as Exhibit 12?

MR. TALARICO: No, your Honor. That's just one small part of it. The entire motion is based on Supreme Court rules and on -- or in effect at the time the deposition was taken.

THE COURT: No, no, no. Tell me the substance.

Don't tell me what the rules are. Because my reading of your motion focused on Exhibit 12 and the

failure -- or the alleged failure to produce itprior to the deposition or give an exhibit list.

So tell me, what is the reason why you want to re-depose Mr. Mast? Because I think that's ultimately your request.

MR. TALARICO: That's right. Judge, within our motion and our reply, we've indicated that it's not just Exhibit 12. Focusing on Exhibit 12 makes it too easy. The entire deposition -- the Internet went down almost the entire time. It's in the notes that I highlighted. It's in the transcript. They were not seeing Exhibits 1 through 15 at the same time. They weren't seeing them. They weren't being uploaded to the admin- -- the administer of -- of oath. 12 wasn't even part of it. It was added, I don't know, 14 days later.

THE COURT: But, ultimately --

MR. TALARICO: So we --

THE COURT: -- the exhibits were seen; am I correct?

MR. TALARICO: No. They were not seen.

THE COURT: None of the exhibits were seen?

MR. TALARICO: They were not seen in accordance with the rules, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: That's -- see, you --2 MR. TALARICO: The rules --3 THE COURT: You throw those phrases in, in 4 accordance with the rules. Did the deponent see the exhibits, yes or 5 no? 6 7 MR. TALARICO: No. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Flynn, comment. 9 Judge, to say that the Internet was MR. FLYNN: 10 down for --11 THE COURT: I don't want to go down there. 12 the -- did Mr. Mast see the exhibits? 13 MR. FLYNN: My recollection and understanding is 14 that Mr. Mast saw all of the exhibits. There may 15 have been an issue with a portion of Exhibit 12; 16 however, he was -- he was cross-examined at Page 49 17 through 52 regarding Exhibit 12, generally speaking, 18 and the case law that was contained in his file. 19 The bottom line is, it doesn't add 20 anything. He didn't recall the specific cases that 21 he discussed with --22 THE COURT: Did he see the exhibits? That's all 23 I wanted to know. 24 MR. FLYNN: I don't know that he saw the full

```
exhibit in its entirety.
 1
 2
                      Okay.
          THE COURT:
          MR. FLYNN: It -- But it wasn't necessarily
 3
 4
      provided to him, so --
 5
          THE COURT: For purposes of this record,
 6
      Exhibit 12 is copies of case law; am I correct?
 7
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Generally speaking, correct.
                      Mr. Talarico.
 8
          THE COURT:
 9
          MR. TALARICO: That's correct, Judge. But,
10
      again, we're not just focusing on Exhibit 12. We're
11
      focusing on all the exhibits that were supposedly
12
      shown to Mr. -- to Mr. Mast. He didn't see them.
13
      He didn't see them.
14
          THE COURT:
                     0kav.
                         There was confusion as to what
15
          MR. TALARICO:
16
      the numbers were.
17
          THE COURT: Whose exhibits were they?
                         They were the plaintiff's, but it
18
          MR. TALARICO:
19
      was the defendant's equipment that caused the
20
      problem.
21
          THE COURT: And the plaintiff conducted the
      deposition; am I correct?
22
23
          MR. TALARICO:
                         That is correct.
24
          THE COURT: And the plaintiff was aware of
```

1 any -- the plaintiff's attorney, at that time, was 2 aware of any glitches or difficulties with respect 3 to having the deponent view all of the exhibits; am 4 I correct? 5 MR. TALARICO: That's correct, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: And the plaintiff (sic) who was 7 representing Mr. Dulberg at the time, for whatever 8 reason, did not raise an objection at the time or 9 ever with respect to the manner in which the 10 deposition proceeded. 11 MR. TALARICO: No, your Honor. (Indiscernible) 12 she left it to me -- or to the following counsel. 13 She said to Mr. Dulberg, Mr. Dulberg, whatever those objections are, your next counsel has to make them. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that -- but the 16 handling attorney at the time who represented 17 Mr. Dulberg's interests proceeded with the 18 deposition and did not give notice to anyone of any 19 complaint with respect to the manner in which it was 20 conducted; am I correct? 21 MR. TALARICO: You are correct.

THE COURT: Okay. What else do you want me to know?

22

23

24

MR. TALARICO: I want you to know, Judge, also,

that not only does the objection have to take place at the time of the deposition itself. When the evidence is being brought -- when the evidence is being subject to admission, I can bring that -- I can raise that now and object to it.

THE COURT: Okay. But the -- the exhibits were always in control of the plaintiff's attorneys, and you're asking to penalize the defendant for what appears to be a -- an issue you have with the manner in which prior counsel conducted the deposition.

MR. TALARICO: No, your Honor. It's -- as far as the defendant, it's their electronic equipment that failed.

THE COURT: And there were no objections made at the time or subsequent, and this motion was brought approximately two and a half years after the deposition in question; am I correct?

MR. TALARICO: You are correct. But it's also within the time of the ruling. I can do this now.

THE COURT: You can file any motion you like, but I'm -- I'm going to deny your request. I don't think that there's any reasonable basis under which I can compel the defendant to appear for a second deposition because of these issues. It appears that

prior counsel was satisfied with the -- her ability to conduct the deposition, and she was always in control of all of the exhibits, so I don't see a problem there.

If anybody should have been raising an objection about the problems, it should have been defendant, not -- not the plaintiff two and a half years after the deposition they proceeded with. So I'm going to deny the request.

Anything else that we need to do today?

MR. FLYNN: Judge, just that there was some additional relief requested in the latest reply brief filed, and that included a request that the Court take judicial notice of -- what's really an implication. I think this is on Page 17 of the response.

I would just request that that specific request for relief also be denied in connection with the motion.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me just call it up. I'm looking at Page 18. What is it?

MR. FLYNN: I believe it was on Page 17 of the response -- I'm sorry -- the reply brief that was

just filed. 1 Okay. The notice of the 2 THE COURT: 3 adjudicative facts? 4 MR. FLYNN: Correct. I think it says in Paragraph 12(j), plaintiff requests that this 5 6 Honorable Court take judicial notice of the 7 adjudicative fact that the references to Restatement (Second) of Torts Section 318 impliedly references 8 9 Tilschner versus Spangler. 10 THE COURT: That -- that's not really ultimately 11 the purpose of this motion, and it's not contained 12 in the prayer for relief. That strikes me as a new 13 motion. Wasn't going to consider it, and so I'm --14 to the extent that I have to rule on it. on an 15 issue I don't even think that's in front of me 16 appropriately --17 MR. FLYNN: It's just relief that was in -- in 18 this response that I didn't have any opportunity to 19 address. 20 I will -- I will deny all aspects of THE COURT: 21 plaintiff's motion. 22 MR. FLYNN: Okay. 23 THE COURT: I think that covers it. 24 Is there anything else?

```
1
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Thank you.
                                  That's it.
 2
          THE COURT: Okay. We have a future date, don't
 3
      we?
 4
          MR. FLYNN:
                      We have a pending motion for summary
      judgment, and plaintiff's response is due, I think,
 5
 6
      next week.
 7
          THE COURT: Okay. All right.
 8
          MR. FLYNN: But I don't --
 9
          THE COURT: You're back February 1st, and I --
10
      Mr. Flynn, could you draft the order and please get
11
      it in as early as possible.
12
                      I will. And, Judge, we spoke
          MR. FLYNN:
13
      last time about your continued handling of the
14
      case. Do you have any information to share in that
15
      regard?
          THE COURT:
16
                      Nope. Nope. I -- I'm in a
17
      different division and, um, I can't take cases with
18
      me.
19
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Okay.
20
          THE COURT: That would be interesting, but no, I
21
      can't take them. I can't take them with me.
22
      many --
23
          MR. FLYNN: Okay. So --
24
          THE COURT: -- egos involved. Not -- I'm not
```

```
referring to Judge Berg.
 1
 2
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Sure.
 3
          THE COURT: But there -- Yeah, there's too many
 4
      hurdles to try --
 5
          MR. FLYNN: I understand. So the schedule
 6
      stands?
          THE COURT: The schedule stands. If you wish to
 7
      have that addressed, you'll have to bring it in
 8
 9
      front of Judge Berg.
10
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Okay.
11
          THE COURT: I will warn you, he's got a small
      claims call. I would -- I'm warned to avoid
12
13
      Thursdays and Fridays for hearing because those,
14
      apparently, are heavy days.
15
               But you may find out the hard way.
                                                   Who
      knows?
16
17
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Okay.
                      All right.
18
          THE COURT:
19
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Thank you very much, your
20
      Honor.
21
          THE COURT: You can send in the order.
                                                  I will
      sign it when I see it.
22
                      Thank you.
23
          MR. FLYNN:
24
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Have a good
```

1	day.
2	MR. FLYNN: You, too. Thank you.
3	(Which were all the proceedings
4	had in the above-entitled cause
5	this date.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2) SS:
3	COUNTY OF McHENRY)
4	
5	I, KATHLEEN STROMBACH, an official
6	Court Reporter for the Circuit Court of McHenry
7	County, Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit of Illinois,
8	transcribed the electronic recording of the
9	proceeding in the above-entitled cause to the best
10	of my ability and based on the quality of the
11	recording, and I hereby certify the foregoing to be
12	a true and accurate transcript of said electronic
13	recording.
14	
15	<u>Kathleen Strombach</u> Kathleen Strombach
16	Official Court Reporter License No. 084-003755
17	LICENSC NO. 004 000700
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	