```
1
      STATE OF ILLINOIS
 2
      COUNTY OF McHENRY
 3
 4
             IN THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
                    McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS
 5
 6
      PAUL DULBERG.
 7
               Plaintiff.
 8
                                           No. 17 LA 377
          VS.
 9
      THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J.
      POPOVICH, P.C., and HANS MAST,
10
11
               Defendants.
12
               ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED REPORT OF
13
      PROCEEDINGS had in the above-entitled cause before
      the Honorable THOMAS A. MEYER, Judge of said Court
14
15
      of McHenry County, Illinois, on the 5th day of
      December, 2022, at the McHenry County Government
16
17
      Center, Woodstock, Illinois.
18
          APPEARANCES:
               LAW OFFICE OF ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, by
19
20
               MR. ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, (Via Zoom)
21
                    On behalf of the Plaintiff:
22
               KARBAL COHEN ECONOMOU SILK DUNNE, LLC, by
23
               MR. GEORGE K. FLYNN (Via Zoom),
24
                    On behalf of the Defendant.
```

THE COURT: All right. In the meantime, do we 1 2 have everybody on Dulberg? MR. FLYNN: I believe so, your Honor. 3 4 THE COURT: Mr. Dulberg has, I think you can see 5 it, I tested my speakers. They work. I believe the 6 Court has my audio muted. I do not. I have done 7 nothing. So I don't know what the problem is. any event, although, I might think about it -- might 8 9 have thought about doing that, but in any event --10 and that's because of the prior issues regarding the 11 recording of these proceedings. 12

In any event, Mr. Talarico, do you have the records from the former attorneys? Do you have everything?

MR. TALARICO: I have -- I have a response from -- yes. I have response from Williams and Clinton.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you satisfied they are complete?

MR. TALARICO: I am.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Flynn, do you have a motion?

MR. FLYNN: Yes, I do. And this relates to that production. Judge, after we were on the record,

In

after the parties went back and forth on the language of your order from November 9, Ms. Williams and Mr. Clinton forwarded by email the records that were at issue that had previously been tendered to the Court and had been identified as responsive to Mr. Talarico, Mr. Dulberg's subpoena. And what I received were redacted copies, even though the understanding was that unredacted copies would be sent to me and to Mr. Talarico.

So they sent me a redacted copy. They sent an unredacted and a redacted copy to Mr. Talarico. So I emailed Ms. Williams and asked what was going on, and she said, well, we have now determined that those withheld documents are not responsive to the subpoena, even though, as I indicated in my motion, they identified them as being responsive before.

So -- so we filed a motion to enforce your order from November 9. I've got the motion. I don't know what to say other than that there was an agreement. There were plenty of representations on the record, and we should have these records.

THE COURT: Okay. Forgive me, but I'm confused. So let me explain or tell you what I'm drawing from this. After the fact, Clinton and company decided

that certain of their records were nonresponsive and withheld them or redacted them or both?

MR. FLYNN: They were redacted.

THE COURT: All right. So -- but as far as the documents are concerned, you guys have everything you think you are entitled to.

MR. FLYNN: I think we had those in the first place, Judge. The whole purpose of that hearing was -- was relative to those documents. So I don't know what the whole point of the November 9 hearing was because we already had those -- your Honor had copies of those for an in camera inspection which never occurred. And then they decided, okay, well, we are not going to produce the unredacted copies to defense counsel, only to plaintiff's counsel pursuant to a subpoena.

THE COURT: If -- if -- all right.

Mr. Talarico, what comment, if any, do you have?

MR. TALARICO: May I start, Judge, with happy holidays --

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. TALARICO: -- to you and Mr. Dulberg and Mr. Flynn. And I'm sorry to hear that things are going to be changing there as far as what kind of

cases you'll be hearing. I think -- well, I won't tell you what I think, but -- okay.

Now, as far as -- first thing is there is a motion that's just up. I want time to respond. I mean -- but if you want me to tell you what I'm thinking, that's easy. But I would like time to respond to the motion so I have -- so I have it of record.

THE COURT: Well, here is my -- let me walk through a little of this.

The prior order says attorney-client and work-product privileges are waived. Therefore, any redactions based upon attorney-client privilege or work product are inappropriate based on my order. I think that's pretty black and white, and I covered it, I think, extensively because I was concerned about this issue. And I was concerned that Clintons would be afraid of disclosing documents for fear of a malpractice claim.

So I'm at a loss to see what -- what remains at issue because my order is straightforward and we addressed this. But Mr. Talarico, I'll let you respond.

MR. TALARICO: Your Honor, what Ms. Clinton

redacted was nonresponsive answers. There were -there were a time frame and a subject matter and
your order was -- and we waived all attorney-client
privilege and work product in response to the
subpoena. And Mr. -- Mr. Flynn received those.

THE COURT: But then she decided that -- all right. So you are saying that the redactions contain irrelevant information.

MR. TALARICO: Correct.

THE COURT: All right. And the -- if that's the basis of your position, I don't think it's a valid one because you don't make the determination of relevance at this stage. Relevance is determined at trial. And certainly, it may not be permitted at trial, but --

MR. TALARICO: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: It's not a -- relevance is not an objection I put a lot of weight on in the course of written discovery.

MR. TALARICO: Judge, the word relevant -- I'm not saying relevant.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TALARICO: Responsive. Responsive. That's what we waived, what was responsive to the subpoena.

Anything and everything she had that was responsive, and Mr. Flynn has received that.

THE COURT: But you got them too.

MR. TALARICO: Of course.

THE COURT: So he is being denied possession of documents that you were given, and the contention is that they -- what you were given isn't responsive. I guess I'm having a hard time reconciling this argument with the discussion we had, and I've also reviewed those documents, although, I don't have them in front of me right now. And that wasn't an issue that jumped out at me as I read them.

So I really don't know where we are going because responsive is kind of an odd objection. I think that really is something that rests with the defendant in this case, not you, on behalf of Clinton.

MR. TALARICO: Well, Judge, I'm not objecting. This is what Ms. Williams decided was responsive to the subpoena, and she sent it to Mr. Flynn. Now, what we are talking about as what's responsive and not responsive is documents that are outside the date range, outside the subject range, anything and anything -- anything and everything that are not

responsive, we didn't waive. We waived everything that's responsive. Anything that's from a year ago that's in those documents is not responsive, and she -- and she -- she struck that.

And I -- I (indiscernible) Mr. Flynn if he has an issue, it's with her, not with me. I'm not going to turn over documents that I wasn't ordered to turn over. But we are only talking about things that were stricken that were not responsive, dates and subject matter. If they are talking about -- or if their documents are in reference to something other than what the subpoena called for which was the deposition of Hans Mast on June, I believe 25, 2020, that's not -- that's not what we waived.

THE COURT: Okay. But then, if you have waived your attorney-client and work-product privilege, then there really doesn't seem to be a basis on which you can withhold the documents, and I --

MR. TALARICO: Judge, we didn't waive -- I'm sorry. I apologize.

THE COURT: Well, that's where I'm having a problem.

MR. TALARICO: Judge, we didn't waive all attorney-client. It was a limited waiver, if you

remember. It was limited to the responses to the subpoena.

THE COURT: Those documents. No. No. I'm not buying this. Mr. Flynn, what do you got?

MR. FLYNN: Same argument, your Honor. I mean, these documents were tendered to the Court as being responsive to that subpoena. There was a subpoena response that Williams and Clinton served, and they served an amended response.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FLYNN: We had a lengthy discussion on the record. I have attached the transcript and the order to my motion this morning. Now, they -- to turn around in their third -- third swoop here and saying, well, they are not responsive. Well, I don't buy it either. Of course, I can't read the documents because I -- they are redacted as far as the version I got. But they can't have it both ways.

THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Flynn, what's the relief you're asking for?

MR. FLYNN: I didn't notice Ms. Clinton and Mr. Williams -- I'm sorry, Mr. Clinton and Ms. Williams on this motion because plaintiff has

copies of these records. They are pursuant to this subpoena. I'm just asking that the Court order the plaintiff to turn these over unredacted.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to grant that request. I'm going to direct plaintiff to turn them over to you in seven days, and -- because I -- we have been through this and we have been through this extensively, and I don't think nonresponsive is a valid objection at this stage, particularly in light of the fact that I have previously reviewed the documents.

And responsiveness, I don't think that that's an objection that the plaintiff is able to make when we are talking about documents that were produced by a third party. So they can't be withheld, and since plaintiff has them, defendant gets them. And the only bases under which I would allow plaintiff to withhold them is if they breached attorney-client or work-product privilege, but as we have disclosed, that's been waived.

So plaintiff has seven days to turn them over, and let's come back in ten days for status of compliance. Ten days would take us to December 15th, that's a Thursday. Does that day

1 work for both of you? 16th is fine too. MR. FLYNN: The 15th is better for me, your 2 Honor, if that's okay. 3 4 MR. TALARICO: 16th is better for me. 5 THE COURT: All right. Let's check 16th. How 6 about 9:15 on the 16th? 7 MR. FLYNN: I have a 9:00 o'clock. Can we make 8 it say 9:30 or 9:45? 9 THE COURT: 9:30. 10 MR. FLYNN: Okay. THE COURT: Sorry. I can't give you 10:00. 11 12 MR. FLYNN: And then there is the other matter, 13 Judge, the motion to exclude the Hans Mast 14 deposition. Plaintiff filed his --15 THE COURT: All right. Let me switch gears for a moment because Ms. Vernagallo and Ms. Polo are 16 17 waiting, and they have -- want something really simple. 18 19 (Whereupon the afore-captioned 20 cause was recalled.) 21 THE COURT: All right. Now, we will go back to 22 Dulberg. Mr. Flynn, what were you saying? MR. FLYNN: Yes, your Honor. The Court granted 23 24 the plaintiff leave to file a second amended motion

1 to exclude the Hans Mast deposition. We had some 2 extensive argument about the motion at the last hearing. At the time, I didn't expect to file a 3 4 response, but after having received the second 5 amended motion that was filed after the hearing, and despite my knee replacement, I decided -- I thought 6 I'd get something on record. So I did file a 7 8 response on November 30. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Talarico? 10 MR. TALARICO: Judge, I'd like to -- I want to 11 file a reply. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. TALARICO: I'd like 14 days. 14 THE COURT: Sure. I'll give you 14 days. A11 15 right. 14 days will give you until the 19th, and I think one way or another, even if I'm gone, this is 16 17 more appropriately brought back in front of me.

I can do the hearing at 9:30 on December 21, that is a Wednesday. Does that work for you guys?

MR. FLYNN: That day works, Judge. May I just address the request for the reply, though?

THE COURT: Yeah.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. FLYNN: Given that this is the second amended motion, I mean, this -- I did file a

response, you know, not even pursuant to the briefing schedule. I got it on file quickly.

We have a summary judgment motion pending. The last thing that I want is for the dates to be affected by any of these motions.

THE COURT: What's the date of your summary judgment?

MR. FLYNN: It's -- the plaintiff's response is due on December 28. The motion was originally filed way back on September 15. So it's -- we have had an extensive amount of time.

THE COURT: I won't be hearing the summary judgment. So --

MR. FLYNN: Yeah. I understood there was some comments being made before I left about your Honor's handling the case or --

THE COURT: Well, after 13 years, they have decided this is the worst place for me. So I'm being moved to traffic, and then, Judge Berg is taking over January 1. So it's -- I don't know what's going to happen with the scheduling of your summary judgment because he's going to be combining his small claims call with a law division jury, and I -- I question the practicality of that but that's

not my -- that's not my call. 1 2 MR. FLYNN: Well, then, I would request from the chief judge that your Honor hear this motion through 3 4 since it's -- because of your familiarity with the case over these many years. 5 6 THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't make the rules. So --7 8 MR. FLYNN: Sure. I understand. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Talarico, what did you want to 10 say? 11 MR. TALARICO: Yes, Judge. I was allowed to 12 file an amended motion, and Mr. Flynn has filed two 13 responses. The first one was before you, an oral 14 response, if you remember --15 THE COURT: Yes. MR. TALARICO: -- the transcript. And you said 16 17 to him, if you decide to do a written one -- he 18 called it a sur-response, I want to sur-reply. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. TALARICO: He's had two shots at this 21 already. 22 THE COURT: You got it. You got it. 23 But he's -- yes. Thank you. MR. TALARICO: 24 THE COURT: So can we do the hearing on the Hans

1 | Mast deposition on the 21st?

MR. TALARICO: Judge, that's -- can we do it the 9th? It's going to affect my time no matter what.

Can it be done closer to Christmas, like the 23rd or -- the 23rd I can do.

THE COURT: Nope. Nope.

MR. FLYNN: The 21st works for me.

THE COURT: The 21st is my last day before vacation. And as much as I love you guys, I'm not coming back for you. It will -- yeah, we got to get it done on the 21st, and -- because, frankly, given the status of this motion, I can't imagine Judge Berg not sending it back to me to complete it.

So I think for -- it's all in our best interests to get this done in front of me before the 21st. So that's why I'm picking 9:30 on the 21st. Can we do that?

MR. FLYNN: That works for me, Judge.

MR. TALARICO: Judge, I'll move things around.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, is there a time that day that works better?

MR. TALARICO: I have to move things around no matter what.

THE COURT: You know what, I can do -- I can do

```
it later. I've got -- I could do it at 10:00
 1
 2
      o'clock or -- also.
          MR. FLYNN: What is the other date that we are
 3
 4
      coming back, Judge?
          THE COURT:
 5
                      I don't know.
 6
          THE CLERK: December 16.
          THE COURT: The 15th?
 7
 8
          THE CLERK:
                      16th.
 9
          THE COURT: 16th we are coming back.
10
          MR. FLYNN: I mean, could this be done by then?
11
      I mean, I've got my response on file, given the knee
12
      replacement and Thanksgiving holiday, a couple of
13
      days. So --
14
          THE COURT: We can --
15
          MR. TALARICO: I'm sorry.
          THE COURT: Why don't we do both hearings at the
16
17
      same time rather than come back on the 16th.
                                                    T --
      that makes sense since it's only four days -- five
18
19
      days. Can we do them both on the 21st?
20
          MR. FLYNN: Sure.
21
          MR. TALARICO: Yeah. Yes. Judge.
22
          THE COURT: What time do you want because I'm
23
      free from 10:00 o'clock on?
24
          MR. TALARICO: Like I said, whatever is more
```

```
convenient for Mr. Flynn. I have to move things
 1
 2
      around one way or the other. Morning or afternoon.
 3
                      I'm wide open on the 21st.
          MR. FLYNN:
          THE COURT: All right. Why don't we say at
 4
 5
      10:00 o'clock. And Mr. Flynn, I would ask that you
 6
      prepare the order --
 7
          MR. FLYNN: I will.
          THE COURT: -- and send that in. As soon as I
 8
 9
      see it, I'll sign it.
10
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Okay.
                     All right.
11
          THE COURT:
12
          MR. FLYNN: Thank you, your Honor.
13
          THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anything else?
14
          MR. TALARICO:
                         No. Just happy holidays to
15
      evervone.
16
          THE COURT:
                      Thanks.
17
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Happy holidays.
                      Thank you. All right. We will see
18
          THE COURT:
19
      you the 21st.
20
          MR. TALARICO: All right. Thank you.
21
                         (Which were all the proceedings
22
                         had in the above-entitled cause
                         this date.)
23
24
```

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )
2	) SS:
3	COUNTY OF McHENRY )
4	
5	I, JUDY CARLSON, an official Court Reporter
6	for the Circuit Court of McHenry County,
7	Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit of Illinois,
8	transcribed the electronic recording of the
9	proceeding in the above-entitled cause to the best
10	of my ability and based on the quality of the
11	recording, and I hereby certify the foregoing to be
12	a true and accurate transcript of said electronic
13	recording.
14	
15	<u>Guoly R. Caelson</u> Certified Shorthand Reporter
16	Certified Shorthand Reporter
17	License No. 084-003347
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	