1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )
2	COUNTY OF McHENRY
3	IN THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
4	McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS
5	PAUL DULBERG,
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs. ) No. 17 LA 377
8	THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS ) POPOVICH, et al.,
9	Defendants.
10	ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had in the above-entitled cause before
11	the Honorable THOMAS A. MEYER, Judge of said Court
12	of McHenry County, Illinois, on the 4th day of November, 2022, at the McHenry County Government Center, Woodstock, Illinois.
13	
14	APPEARANCES:
15	LAW OFFICE OF ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, by MR. ALPHONSE A. TALARICO (via Zoom),
16	On behalf of the Plaintiff,
17	KARBAL COHEN ECONOMOU SILK DUNNE, LLC, by MR. GEORGE K. FLYNN (via Zoom),
18	On behalf of the Defendants.
19	
20	ALSO PRESENT:
21	MR. EDWARD CLINTON (via Zoom) MS. JULIA C. WILLIAMS (via Zoom)
22	MS. JULIA C. WILLIAMS (VIA ZOOM)
23	
24	

1 THE COURT: All right. If everybody could 2 identify themselves, starting with plaintiff's 3 counsel, then defendant. MR. TALARICO: Good morning, your Honor. 4 5 morning, Counsel. I am Alphonse Talarico for the plaintiff, Paul Dulberg. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Defense. 8 MR. FLYNN: Good morning, your Honor. George 9 Flynn on behalf of the defendants, the Popovich firm 10 and Hans Mast. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Clinton. 12 MR. CLINTON: Good morning, your Honor. 13 Ed Clinton, former counsel to Mr. Dulberg. 14 THE COURT: All right. And --15 MS. WILLIAMS: Good morning, your Honor. 16 Julia -- Julia Williams on behalf of -- former 17 counsel to Mr. Dulberg. 18 THE COURT: Okay. And for the record, we have Mr. Dulberg on screen. 19 20 In any event, plaintiff's counsel, where do 21 you want to start? 22 MR. TALARICO: Judge, I would guess that 23 Mr. Clinton and Ms. Williams' motion to vacate would

be the beginning. I filed a rule pursuant to

24

```
1
      your last -- the transcript -- your last order said
 2
      if there's something else that comes up.
 3
               I did file a rule after I read their motion
 4
      to vacate. Historically, or chronologically, I
      think maybe that's the starting point.
 5
      (Indiscernible) you held them in contempt and --
 6
          THE COURT:
 7
                      I did?
 8
          MR. TALARICO: -- here we are. You did.
 9
          THE COURT: When did I hold them in contempt?
10
      I'm looking at --
11
          MR. TALARICO: I think so. The last order.
12
          THE COURT: Okay. I'm looking at October 17th.
13
      He must appear. Yeah, I don't see an explicit
      finding of contempt, at least in the October 17th
14
15
      order. Was --
          MR. TALARICO: I thought -- with all due
16
17
      respect, Judge, if I can find the order, I think the
      first sentence says you grant my motion.
18
19
          THE COURT: Plaintiff's motion to compel is
20
      granted as --
21
          MR. TALARICO: Oh, I'm sorry. Compel. Forgive
22
      me.
23
          THE COURT: All right.
24
          MR. TALARICO: My mistake.
```

THE COURT: In any event, I read the motion to vacate and I -- are you -- and this is directed at Mr. Clinton -- are you concerned about the order to produce, or something else?

MS. WILLIAMS: Would you like me to --

MR. CLINTON: Yeah, go ahead.

MS. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, we're not concerned about producing. We're -- Mr. Dulberg is entitled to these documents, even without a subpoena.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. WILLIAMS: So the production is not our concern. It's the portion of the order that insinuates that we weren't try -- not complying with the subpoena when we were rightfully doing so.

So we are (indiscernible) --

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. WILLIAMS: So that's what we're concerned about.

THE COURT: All right. I'll vacate that because -- yeah, I don't see that as critical.

So to the extent that you're concerned, any suggestion in the order of October 17th suggesting or implying that you had failed to cooperate, I will vacate. I saw from your motion to vacate you were

contesting that issue, and I'm not going to go down that path to find out who's right, ultimately, because it doesn't make any difference, at least to me.

So all I care about is the production of the documents. And am I correct that -- my reading of your response indicated that you've produced the documents. Is that -- was that accurate?

MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, your Honor. So we have produced nonconfidential documents to both -- at this point, we've now produced to both counsels of record in this case, plaintiff's counsel and defendant's counsel. The only thing that has not been produced to defendant's counsel is the communication between our firm and Mr. Dulberg, which was produced to plaintiff's counsel. And then there are, I want to say, about 13 pages of e-mails that were internal work product communications within our office that have not been produced to any -- anyone.

THE COURT: Um, why would you -- and here's, I guess, my confusion. Why would you withhold those from Mr. Dulberg? And I --

MS. WILLIAMS: The work product -- product

```
1
      privilege -- I mean, we can --
 2
          MR. CLINTON:
                        We can give them.
          MS. WILLIAMS: We can give that.
 3
 4
          MR. CLINTON:
                        We're not going to give you a hard
 5
      time about that.
          MS. WILLIAMS: We'll waive that and give those
 6
      to Mr. Dulberg.
 7
 8
          THE COURT: Because I'm --
 9
          MS. WILLIAMS:
                         (Indiscernible.)
10
          THE COURT: -- starting from the premise, at
      least based on the order, that Mr. Dulberg is,
11
12
      through counsel, waiving any attorney/client
13
      privilege. And, yeah, if we get into the issue --
14
      my problem is if it's work product, arguably,
15
      Mr. Dulberg is entitled to those documents, and if
      he chooses to disclose them, that's up to him.
16
                                                       But
17
      I'm not -- unless there's something else I'm
      missing, if Mr. Clinton or -- is it Ms. Williams?
18
19
          MR. CLINTON:
                        (Indiscernible.)
20
          THE COURT: Is it Ms. Williams?
21
          MS. WILLIAMS:
                         Yes.
22
          MR. CLINTON: And we'll turn them over today.
23
          MS. WILLIAMS: We'll turn them over today.
24
          THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Talarico.
```

MR. TALARICO: Judge, your last order included a limited waiver. It's not waiver for all things. It's waiver -- waiver for the responses to the subpoena.

THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. But are you saying that that should or should not include work product? I don't have a problem either way, but, really, it's your call.

MR. TALARICO: Judge, I believe work product and -- and attorney/client privilege, yes. He's including all of that --

THE COURT: All right. So --

MR. TALARICO: -- in response to the subpoena.

THE COURT: -- you want -- and I'm not trying to box you in, but I just want to understand.

You want them to produce the entire file, including their work product, and you are waiving any claim of privilege related to work -- to attorney/client insofar as those records are concerned. Is that accurate, or did I miss something?

MR. TALARICO: Again, your Honor, Mr. Dulberg is specifically waiving the attorney/client -- client privilege for documents that are in response to the

```
1
      subpoena served upon Mr. Clinton and Ms. Williams.
 2
          THE COURT: Okay. And that includes work
 3
      product?
 4
          MR. TALARICO: Yes, it does.
 5
          THE COURT: All right. Problem -- I think,
 6
      problem solved.
               Mr. Clinton or Ms. Williams, comment?
 7
 8
          MR. CLINTON: We have no problem with that at
      all.
 9
10
          MS. WILLIAMS: I think we're (indiscernible).
11
          THE COURT: Okay. So how quickly can you turn
12
      those over?
13
          MS. WILLIAMS: We've already produced them to
      vour Honor, so we have them. So I will -- I can
14
15
      e-mail them to both counsel --
16
          THE COURT: Yeah, you did.
17
          MS. WILLIAMS: -- today.
          THE COURT: All right. I do have that.
18
19
          MS. WILLIAMS: (Indiscernible).
20
          THE COURT: But I didn't really make a point of
21
      looking through them too much, so -- because I'm not
22
      ruling on privilege, so it was not necessary for me
      to see the internal workings of the file.
23
24
               That being said, Mr. Talarico, does that
```

```
satisfy your concerns or interest?
 1
 2
          MR. TALARICO:
                         It does.
 3
          THE COURT: All right. And now -- so are you --
 4
      do you have any objection to my vacating any orders
 5
      to compel or orders of compliance against
 6
      Mr. Clinton and Ms. Williams?
 7
          MR. TALARICO: No, your Honor. That's the
 8
      appropriate move.
 9
          THE COURT: I'm going to ask that Mr. Clinton
10
      and Ms. Williams send in the order memorializing
11
      the -- that. If you can send it in to this
12
      e-mail -- you can take --
13
          MS. WILLIAMS:
                         Yes.
                        Thank you. We will do that.
14
          MR. CLINTON:
15
          MS. WILLIAMS: (Indiscernible.)
          THE COURT: And be sure to copy Mr. Talarico and
16
17
      Mr. Flynn, if you have both of their e-mail
18
      addresses.
19
          MR. CLINTON: Of course.
20
          THE COURT: Do you have Mr. Flynn's?
21
          MR. CLINTON:
                        We do.
22
          MS. WILLIAMS: We do.
          THE COURT: All right. I see Mr. Flynn raising
23
24
      his pen. Yes.
```

(Indiscernible) next question, 1 MR. FLYNN: 2 Judge. Yeah. What is your question? 3 THE COURT: 4 MR. FLYNN: Okay. My understanding, then, is 5 that these documents that are being produced that 6 were previously withheld are being produced to all 7 parties in this case. 8 THE COURT: I'm going to shift that to 9 Mr. Talarico. Is that your understanding? 10 MR. TALARICO: That is my understanding. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Does that satisfy your 12 concerns, Mr. Clinton and Ms. Williams? 13 MR. CLINTON: Yes, your Honor. 14 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, your Honor. If the client 15 is waiving --16 MR. TALARICO: We waived privilege. 17 MS. WILLIAMS: -- (indiscernible) to produce to -- we will produce in compliance with the 18 19 subpoena, and we will submit those both to 20 Mr. Talarico and Mr. Flynn at the same time. 21 THE COURT: All right. For purposes of clarity, 22 what I am understanding just transpired is that 23 plaintiff's current attorney, Mr. Talarico, has 24 waived the attorney/client privilege and any claim

```
1
      of work product with respect to your file, and
 2
      further, granted you permission to issue copies of
 3
      that subpoena response to defense counsel,
 4
      Mr. Flynn, at the same time.
               Any -- if there's anything incorrect with
 5
 6
      what I said, tell me.
          MR. TALARICO: If you're saying that I'm --
 7
      that Mr. Dulberg is waiving all attorney/client --
 8
 9
          THE COURT: No.
10
          MR. TALARICO: -- privilege -- just -- just in
11
      response to the subpoena, that's all.
12
          THE COURT: Exactly.
13
          MR. TALARICO:
                         Yes.
14
          THE COURT: Okay. Does that cover --
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Thank you, Judge.
15
          THE COURT: All right. So send in your order
16
17
      and I -- I will vacate any order directed against
      you, because we're resolved, and as soon as I see it
18
19
      I will sign the order.
20
          MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, your Honor.
21
          MR. CLINTON:
                        Thank you, Judge.
22
          THE COURT: Okay. And you know what? Are you
23
      in our e-mail system? Did they have to sign up --
24
          MS. WILLIAMS: I believe we are, your Honor,
```

1 because we were parties to the -- we were counsel in 2 the case, so we should be. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. Forgive me. Okay. Then no problem. I'll sign it whenever it shows up. 4 MR. CLINTON: 5 Thank you, Judge. 6 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. 7 (WHEREUPON, Mr. Clinton and 8 Ms. Williams disconnect.) 9 THE COURT: All right. Moving on. Mr. Flynn, we have your motion for summary 10 judgment; am I correct? 11 12 That's already been --MR. FLYNN: 13 THE COURT: Yeah, I do see that. MR. FLYNN: Yeah. The briefing schedules are 14 15 set on that. There, actually, was another motion that relates to Ms. Williams and this Exhibit 12 16 17 from the Hans Mast deposition. I was kind of hoping that she might stay on the line there. 18 19 THE COURT: I apologize. 20 MR. FLYNN: That's okay. 21 THE COURT: I -- you know, Mr. Talarico, can you 22 call them back? If you have their number. 23 MR. TALARICO: I don't. THE COURT: Or, Mr. Flynn, if you've got their 24

```
number, one of you. Because, yeah, I screwed up
 1
 2
      there.
                     I'll see if I can. I think I've got
 3
          MR. FLYNN:
 4
      a number here.
 5
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
          MR. FLYNN: Judge, I was able to reach
 6
 7
      Mr. Clinton and Ms. Williams. I think they're going
 8
      to log back on.
          THE COURT: All right. As soon as I see them,
 9
10
      I'll let them in.
11
          MR. FLYNN: Thank you.
12
                         (WHEREUPON, Mr. Clinton and
13
                          Ms. Williams reconnect.)
14
          THE COURT:
                      There he is. All right. I
15
      apologize. Mr. Flynn pointed out that I was dumb,
      and I let you go before we resolved one other
16
17
      question.
               Mr. Flynn, I'll let you speak.
18
19
          MR. FLYNN: And for the record, I did --
20
          THE COURT: And for the record --
21
          MR. FLYNN: -- not say that.
          THE COURT: -- he implied.
22
               So, Mr. Clinton -- Mr. Flynn, you had a
23
24
      question for Mr. Clinton.
```

MR. FLYNN: Well, for Ms. Williams. It's not necessarily a question, but I think that this next motion -- there's a motion to exclude the Hans Mast deposition. Ms. Williams was involved in that, noticed the deposition, took the deposition, was involved in the communications with the court reporter.

So I thought that if she could and would be willing to explain what happened. And, you know, it's my position that there's nothing nefarious about this deposition. It was taken at the beginning of the pandemic when lawyers were still trying to figure out how to use the Zoom deposition method. All objections to that manner were waived by both parties. The deposition was, again, noticed by the plaintiff, Mr. Dulberg's agents. The court reporter was hired by the plaintiff, his agents.

THE COURT: But your question for Ms. Williams is?

MR. FLYNN: If she could explain what happened with Exhibit 12. I think I know what -- and, again, there's been a 213(f)(3) opinion that was just disclosed a day or two ago. I think it's improper because --

1 THE COURT: In this case? 2 MR. FLYNN: (Indiscernible). In this case. Oh, I don't (indiscernible). 3 THE COURT: 4 MR. FLYNN: It was a document examiner who was 5 identified by the plaintiff. 6 But that's a different issue, so I'm THE COURT: 7 going to cut you off. 8 MR. FLYNN: No, it's not a different issue. 9 THE COURT: I want to cut -- I want to cut to 10 what my one question is. 11 Ms. Williams, what can you tell us about 12 Exhibit 12? 13 MS. WILLIAMS: So -- so my understanding -- as I 14 recall, yes, it was the beginning of the pandemic. 15 We had Mr. Mast's deposition, I believe, scheduled. 16 I want to say, for March, and we canceled it because 17 it was March 2020, right when everything was -- was starting, and it was canceled due to COVID concerns. 18 19 And -- and so we -- we opted to utilize the -- the 20 court's -- I think it was the Illinois Supreme Court 21 that came out with the rules as to -- and I could be 22 incorrect, it could be each county court, but that 23 said you could do Zoom depositions.

So we noticed it up for a remote

24

deposition, and we utilized a court reporter. I can't remember the court reporter's name. There are several e-mails about this with Mr. -- Mr. Flynn that -- that have been produced pursuant to the subpoena that we received. And my recollection is is that we took that deposition, and there were several difficulties with Mr. Mast's internet connection, and so we had come on and off, but we did get through the deposition.

Mr. Flynn had -- I had produced to
Mr. Flynn, prior to the deposition, all of the
exhibits that I believed we would use. And then I
also, during the deposition, uploaded them into the
court reporting system so that the court reporter
would have them and mark them.

As to Exhibit 12, we had uploaded it into the system. I don't know that Mr. Mast could actually see those, so he may have used the paper copies that Mr. Flynn had.

And then after the deposition, the court reporter notified me that Exhibit 12, specifically, was -- they couldn't read it, and we needed to get them a copy. I believe there's e-mails to that effect, as well. If I'm recalling correctly, a

1 number of the pages were blank. It was a larger 2 exhibit. I want to say it was around 27 pages, I 3 think, or 25 pages. 4 So sometime after the deposition, we -- we 5 did provide the exhibit that was utilized in the 6 deposition to the court reporter, and at that time 7 they marked it and sent it back to everyone. 8 THE COURT: Okay. What was Exhibit 12 again? 9 MS. WILLIAMS: It was a series of cases. I 10 don't know that -- I just can't recall what all was 11 asked about it, but I know there were -- it was --12 it was --13 THE COURT: All right. These would have --MS. WILLIAMS: -- copies of case law. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. They were photocopies of the old 16 MR. FLYNN: 17 books, Judge, cases that were contained in Mast's 18 file. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. FLYNN: And he was -- you know, they 21 have -- they're, obviously, not complete because 22 they -- placed on a printer, appeared like we used 23 to do in the old days.

24

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FLYNN: So the issue now, though, is that the plaintiff knew --

THE COURT: Hang on. Before -- before we get into argument, Mr. Talarico, is there anything -- any questions you have, since we have Ms. Williams here?

MR. TALARICO: Yes. Was the Tilsner case included in -- in the blank Exhibit 12 you sent to U.S. Legal, Barbara Schmidt? And was -- when you discussed with Mr. Flynn the failure of his -- or Mr. Mast's internet, didn't he say, I can't see these, I can only see their first one (indiscernible), which was the Lagano (phonetic) case? And wasn't there continued discussion by Mr. Flynn that he didn't -- he didn't produce all of the documents you sent on -- in hardcopy because he wanted to save paper?

MS. WILLIAMS: So that's -- I guess that's a lot of questions. So what --

MR. TALARICO: It is.

MS. WILLIAMS: What -- what -- I cannot recall what cases were included and weren't included at this point. There -- there was an e-mail to Mr. Flynn with the exhibit that is attached that I

believe was produced in the subpoena.

So whatever that exhibit was is -- is what I would have used. So I know there was, like, a Laravo case or -- I remember the first case was like Laravo or Lavajo, L-A-V-A-J-O, or something like that.

But right now, off the top of my head, I don't remember what other cases were included.

MR. TALARICO: I'm talking about -- Judge, if I might, please? Excuse me. I'm sorry,
Ms. Williams.

There was -- what the reporter had was blank. What Mr. Flynn's client said was, I see the Lagano (phonetic) one. So the Exhibit 12 that was sent, like, a week or two after the deposition had Lagano, Troy, and the same exact Lagano case, and it did not have the Tilsner case involved, and the Tilsner case was very important. So it was an exact duplication of one case and a second case.

But this is -- Judge, it's not just the Exhibit 12. The entire deposition --

THE COURT: Well, are you asking a question about Exhibit 12? Because if we're done asking questions, I'm gonna let her go.

MR. TALARICO: Okay. Yep. I'm done.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Flynn, anything?

MR. FLYNN: Yes, Judge. So, again, this -- this 213(f)(3) report that was just produced --

THE COURT: Well, do we need the Clinton -- Mr. Clinton and Ms. Williams for this?

MR. FLYNN: Yes. I'm getting there.

THE COURT: Okay. Sorry.

MR. FLYNN: So if you can just indulge me for a moment.

So the expert document reviewer is of the opinion that the exhibit sticker on Exhibit 12 did not come from the same batch as the other exhibit stickers.

The defense's position is, so what? You know, these kind of things happen, I'm sure, with the court reporter, and if it was marked later because it was not sent in until later, that makes perfect sense.

But this is -- this is -- the argument that's being made is that -- and there's no other conclusion, it's just that it didn't come from the same batch. So, again, it's the so-what position on our part.

But because it's being used to exclude the deposition, it's our position that the deposition was fine -- finally concluded to the satisfaction of plaintiff's counsel, that there was never any indication that they needed a follow-up deposition or a supplemental deposition or a Session 2.

So in our view, any objection to that deposition has been waived. And, again, there was nothing nefarious. Ms. Williams was nothing but professional and courteous during her tenure in this case.

This motion -- this conspiracy that's been presented and caused the defendant to be caught in the crossfire between the plaintiff and his former attorney is through no fault of theirs, and should not continue.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Talarico, you can respond.

MR. TALARICO: Two points, Judge. First of all, what's very obvious -- the label on Exhibit 12 doesn't even have the deponent's name spelled right.

THE COURT: Okay. But what does -- what impact does that have?

MR. TALARICO: What impact is that it was not

1 done at the same time by the same people. It was 2 never produced in time. Secondarily, about Mr. Flynn's allegation 3 4 of some type of conspiracy, if he wants to push 5 that, I think I have evidence that, if necessary, 6 that -- that Mr. Flynn and Ms. Williams have 7 consulted at times. 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry? Have what? MR. TALARICO: Consulted. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. Frankly, Counsel, if you're suggesting that either one of them has engaged in 11 12 unethical behavior, I'm sure you're aware of the 13 Himmel decision, and --14 MR. TALARICO: I am. THE COURT: -- it's not something you can 15 16 threaten; you have to do. 17 MR. TALARICO: And I will. THE COURT: Don't make that threat and not 18 19 follow through with it if, in fact, there's anything 20 to it. You don't -- you don't have an option. And 21 you put a burden on me, as well, when you start 22 going down this road. 23 So you've got to make a decision, and --

MR. TALARICO: (Indiscernible.)

24

THE COURT: -- I'm not going to hear -- I'm not going to hear suggestions of unethical behavior.

I mean, you're either going to pursue it or we're not even going to entertain that, so please continue.

MR. TALARICO: Well, Judge, I will -- I will not pursue it if Mr. Flynn (indiscernible) --

THE COURT: It's not an issue. You don't get to choose.

MR. TALARICO: I'm aware.

THE COURT: I'm not -- I'm not telling you that you have to do one thing or the other. But the Himmel decision removes discretion from the equation. You have to act, if you are aware.

So I'm not telling you that -- that you've got to file. You know what you have. But what I'm saying is, if you're going to make an argument suggesting that other attorneys engaged in unethical behavior, I'm not going to listen to it unless you're also making a -- making a complaint with the ARDC.

So I'm not interested in attorneys casting aspersions on each other and then not following through, if that makes sense. Following through

with the ARDC.

That being said, what -- what is your response on the -- do we have to keep Ms. Williams and Mr. Clinton here? Does anybody else need them?

MR. TALARICO: I have no need for them.

MR. FLYNN: I don't. Just in closing, with respect to Ms. Williams, again, I was just provided these documents by Ms. Williams and/or her court reporter and then passed them along. I had nothing to do with -- with anything other than I did print out certain of the exhibits for ease of use at the deposition when I went to Hans Mast's office. And because I was working from home at the time, I printed a few of them out, not all of them, due to a limited amount of printer paper. And that is my total involvement in Exhibit 12.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Williams and Mr. Clinton, do you want to stay? You can, until we resolve the issue, since we're addressing you guys. What do you want to do?

MS. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, if you wish for us to stay, we're happy to stay.

MR. CLINTON: I think we can stay.

THE COURT: All right. I was giving you the

option to leave, if you wanted.

All right. So, Mr. Talarico, we're moving on to your motion to -- to bar; am I correct?

MR. TALARICO: Yes, your Honor. Within the motion, I asked to amend the motion after I get the responses from Clinton and Williams. They may be appropriate to put into the motion. I filed a motion. The motion can be -- actually, Mr. Flynn just responded to the motion without responding -- without responding.

I don't know how you want to look at it.

If that was his response, I'll file a reply to what he said. But I still -- I have asked, from the beginning, to be allowed to amend that motion once I find out what Mr. Clinton and Ms. Flynn have -- I'm sorry, Ms. Williams. Forgive me.

THE COURT: All right. Can you -- are you asking me for leave to supplement your motion?

MR. TALARICO: Not -- Judge -- yes. If you want me to make a determination now, yes.

THE COURT: All right. And we haven't proceeded to hearing.

Mr. Flynn, have you filed a response to the motion? I'm not seeing it.

MR. FLYNN: Judge, I have not. And my -- my point is that there hasn't even been a prima facie showing of any prejudice to the plaintiff with respect to his deposition. For my client to again have to file a written response to a brief of this nature --

THE COURT: You don't really have -- I don't know that -- I mean, it's up to you as to whether or not you want to file a written response. I've heard your argument. Plaintiff -- more importantly, plaintiff's counsel has heard your argument, so there's -- there's not going to be any prejudice if he simply files his reply or if I allow amendment and then we proceed to hearing. I don't think it's that complicated an issue.

MR. FLYNN: I don't either.

THE COURT: So I don't know that we need further briefing.

What do you want to do, Mr. Flynn, because the ball will be in your court once he files his amendment.

MR. FLYNN: I'd like to examine whatever the amendment is and then, if necessary, request, I guess, what will be called a surreply. However, I'm

1 doubtful that I would need to file it. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Talarico, when can you file 3 vour -- your brief? 4 MR. TALARICO: Well, after I get and review the 5 response from Ms. Williams and Mr. Clinton, two 6 weeks. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Clinton, how quickly can you get him the documents? I know you said it earlier. 8 9 You're on mute. 10 MR. CLINTON: My apology, again. We can get 11 them to him today. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So I think two weeks from 13 today, ultimately, is plenty of time. That would 14 give plaintiff until --15 MR. TALARICO: (Indiscernible.) THE COURT: -- November 18th to file his amended 16 17 response -- or amended motion. And then why don't 18 we -- and then I'm out. 19 So I'll give plaintiff until the 21st and 20 then we'll come back on November 28th, and then, 21 Mr. Flynn, at that point, you can tell me what you 22 want to do.

MR. FLYNN: Thank you, your Honor. And, again,

I know -- I understand this is not on the table but

23

24

1 it's a housekeeping issue with respect to this f(3)
2 opinion. This (f)3 opinion, the document examiner
3 was disclosed as a trial witness relative to this
4 Exhibit 12. I don't think that's proper --

THE COURT: Yeah, I -- you know --

MR. FLYNN: And then he called it a mini trial but not trial.

THE COURT: The -- I -- I haven't seen the report, but if the expert is going to render opinions on case law, copies of case law that were allegedly tendered by former counsel to Mr. Dulberg, I don't see how that comes up in the trial of the matter.

MR. FLYNN: He's really only opining on the authenticity of the exhibit sticker and whether it came from the same batch as the other stickers in that deposition.

So it has nothing to do with the standard of care in this case or any --

THE COURT: I don't know. I'll let Mr. Talarico tell me otherwise. Not now, but I -- there's a couple of thresholds. I don't know. I haven't seen exactly what he says, and I -- I suspect we could even stipulate that the sticker is different without

a whole lot of -- a whole lot of effort or prejudice to anybody. Because based on what you've told me, it likely is a different sticker because of the way the documents were transferred back and forth and the problem at the deposition.

That all being said, I'm -- I'm going to be -- frankly, Mr. Talarico, I'm going to be hard-pressed, but I need to see the report before I can say anything further, so I'll quit speculating.

Anything else that we've got to deal with today? No?

MR. TALARICO: No.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Talarico or Mr. Flynn, can you send in the order memorializing what we're doing on your case? And then, of course, Mr. Clinton and Ms. Williams will send in the order that is vacating any -- any order compelling them to do anything.

MR. FLYNN: Judge, I actually have a meeting with a client in about ten minutes. If Mr. Talarico wouldn't mind preparing the order this time, I'd appreciate it.

MR. TALARICO: I will.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then we'll see

```
1
      you on the 28th.
 2
          THE CLERK: What time?
          MR. FLYNN: Thank you Mr. Clinton and
 3
 4
      Ms. Williams for your time.
 5
          MR. TALARICO: Thank you, your Honor.
 6
          THE CLERK: What time on the --
 7
          THE COURT: You know what? Hang on. Hang on.
 8
      Make it 9:15, not 8:45. At 9:15.
 9
          MR. FLYNN: I'm sorry. On what date again?
10
          THE COURT: On November 28th at 9:15.
11
          MR. TALARICO: Thank you, Judge.
12
          MR. FLYNN: You know, Judge, we talked last time
13
      about my knee replacement. Is there any way we
14
      could bump that back one more week?
15
          THE COURT: Wait. Yeah, I can do it the --
16
          MR. FLYNN: Although, I hope for a speedy
17
      recovery. The surgery is on the 17th and --
18
          THE COURT: Sure.
                             Sure.
                                    If you want to come --
19
      what's the next week? December 5th?
20
          MR. FLYNN: I'm very hopeful that that will
21
      work.
22
          THE COURT: All right. Good luck.
                     Thank you.
23
          MR. FLYNN:
          THE COURT: All right. December 5th at 9:15.
24
```

1	MR. TALARICO: Your Honor, what
2	THE COURT: Yes?
3	MR. TALARICO: December 5th?
4	THE COURT: Yeah.
5	MR. TALARICO: Thank you, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7	Disconnecting.
8	(Which were all the proceedings
9	had in the above-entitled cause
10	this date.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )
2	) SS:
3	COUNTY OF McHENRY )
4	
5	I, KATHLEEN STROMBACH, an official
6	Court Reporter for the Circuit Court of McHenry
7	County, Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit of Illinois,
8	transcribed the electronic recording of the
9	proceeding in the above-entitled cause to the best
10	of my ability and based on the quality of the
11	recording, and I hereby certify the foregoing to be
12	a true and accurate transcript of said electronic
13	recording.
14	
15	Kathleen Strombach Kathleen Strombach
16	Official Court Reporter License No. 084-003755
17	LICENSE NO. 004-000700
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	