```
1
      STATE OF ILLINOIS
 2
      COUNTY OF McHENRY
 3
 4
             IN THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
                    McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS
 5
 6
      PAUL DULBERG.
 7
                    Plaintiff,
 8
                                           No. 17 LA 377
          VS.
 9
      THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J.
      POPOVICH, P.C., and HANS MAST,
10
11
                     Defendants.
12
               ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED REPORT OF
13
      PROCEEDINGS had in the above-entitled cause before
      The Honorable THOMAS A. MEYER, Judge of said Court
14
15
      of McHenry County, Illinois, on the 16th day of
      September, 2022, at the McHenry County Government
16
      Center, Woodstock, Illinois.
17
18
          APPEARANCES:
               LAW OFFICE OF ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, by
19
20
               MR. ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, (via Zoom)
21
                    On behalf of the Plaintiff,
               KARBAL COHEN ECONOMOU SILK DUNNE, LLC, by
22
23
               MR. GEORGE K. FLYNN, (via Zoom)
24
                    On behalf of the Defendants.
```

THE COURT: Now, moving on to Dulberg versus -- Forgive me for not remembering. Dulberg versus Mast.

Plaintiff's counsel, if you can identify yourself?

MR. TALARICO: Good morning, Judge. My name is Alphonse Talarico for the plaintiff.

THE COURT: Okay. And defense counsel?

MR. FLYNN: Good morning, your Honor. George Flynn for defendants.

THE COURT: All right. We have a -- What's going on? Let me just put it that way.

MR. TALARICO: You're right. What is going on? Okay. The last time we were up, you gave me permission to subpoena the records and the deposition of Hans Mast, especially Exhibit 12, which I've been challenging as fraudulent.

Served the subpoena on both Ms. Williams and Mr. Clinton. Those are two people that handled the case for the Clinton Law Firm.

I got -- The day before they were supposed to respond, I called to try to ask if, you know, they would respond. They said yes. They sent me some documents, but other documents, they claim that

they are not all that they want -- that they could respond to and were not going to respond. They won't give -- They're not in compliance. They won't give me an affidavit of completion, et cetera.

As a courtesy to other -- to two members of the bar, I said, Look, I'll extend this. Can you consider this and do this?

So I -- I sent them a notice that I changed the compliance date to October 6th. The earlier compliance date was September 22nd. But every indication in writing is if I -- if I do a motion to compel, they're going to claim attorney-client privilege and work product.

THE COURT: Well, they've got to do something. My interpretation of the law is if you're not going to comply with the subpoena, your options are -- your only option, then, is to file an objection. You can't just refuse.

The ball is in their court. They've done nothing. And you may want to pass that information on to them that unless or until I see something filed on their behalf objecting to the scope of your subpoena, I assume it's appropriate and should be complied with. If you want to do a rule, do a rule,

and I'm fine. But they're going to have to do something. They can't just say, No, we're not gonna.

MR. TALARICO: That is, so far, what I've gotten, but I will pass that along, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Yeah. I expect if they're not going to give full compliance -- and based on what you're telling me they're acknowledging it's not full compliance -- if they are not going to give you full compliance, they need to come to court.

MR. TALARICO: I'll pass that along.

THE COURT: Either voluntarily or involuntarily. It's their call.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

MR. FLYNN: Judge, so yesterday we filed our summary judgment motion on the statute of limitations issue. You may recall we discussed that we believe there were multiple grounds for summary judgment, but they're separate grounds and it made sense to separate those issues.

This record relies mainly on the testimony in this instant malpractice case; whereas, some of the other grounds are more geared toward the

underlying case.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FLYNN: Number one, whether there could have even been liability on the part of the proper owners; and secondly, I believe, which dovetails with that, would be the attorney judgment -- attorney immunity doctrine, essentially.

So those (indiscernible) all relied on facts regarding the accident itself that would have taken, you know, additional five to ten pages of facts that are -- So we'd like to do that separately. In fact, we might wait until -- You gave a ruling that expert discovery is completed, but I'm going to (indiscernible) but I think (indiscernible).

As far as the Exhibit 12 from the Hans Mast deposition, I have forwarded a copy of that to counsel. I don't frankly understand what the issue is. I was there for the deposition. Perhaps, at some point, if some motion could clarify what the problem is, but I just don't understand it, and hopefully won't delay the issue -- delay the summary judgment briefing.

THE COURT: I don't have any reason to believe

it does, but if plaintiff wants to bring that to my attention, I'll listen.

MR. TALARICO: Judge, if I might, as to Exhibit 12 in the deposition, I've already drafted the first part of a motion to exclude the deposition, and it's based on Supreme Court Rule 206(h)(2). This is not before the Court yet, but I'm giving you some idea of where I'm going.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. TALARICO: 206(h)(2), and orders of the Supreme Court MR30370 and MR303 -- twice amended. It was amended. So two orders, plus the fact that the exhibit, in any form, was not at the deposition and not shown.

THE COURT: Mr. Flynn, for you, is the exhibit and/or deposition relevant to your motion?

MR. FLYNN: It is. This is the deposition of my client, Hans Mast.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FLYNN: The attorney that handled the underlying case for the Popovich firm. I don't know that Exhibit 12, in particular, has any bearing on the motion. The deposition, overall, does and it will also effect the future motion for summary

judgment if that every becomes necessary.

THE COURT: All right. Until I see the motion, both motions, I can't really determine to what extent one is going to impact the other. So I'll wait and see and I'll -- Because summary judgment isn't in the file yet, and --

MR. TALARICO: I haven't got notice either.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FLYNN: Counsel, my secretary sent a drop box link to your e-mail address yesterday. So you're aware of it.

MR. TALARICO: I'm aware of it. I didn't know you noticed -- I haven't gotten notice that you put it on today's call because I got it yesterday, and all I got was the document. I don't deny that, Mr. Flynn, of course I did. All I'm saying is it wasn't put on the Judge's call, and I didn't know that. So --

THE COURT: I'm going to put, on my motion -because here's what I want to do. Your compliance
date on the subpoena, the second one -- I think you
said October 6th?

MR. TALARICO: October 6th, yes.

THE COURT: So why don't we come back on the 7th

for status of compliance and status on the motion for summary judgment, at which time we'll also, perhaps, address the motion you intend to file. Then I'll have an opportunity to look at them and maybe we'll have compliance by that date. they're -- They've got to do something. They can't just say, No, we're excused. They've got to come to court and say, We're protected by attorney-client privilege, so this is all the compliance we need to give. They don't get to call those shots. That being said, let's put it on the call for 9:30. Let's say 9:45, sorry, on the 7th. Who wants to send me the order?

MR. FLYNN: Well, Judge, I'm going to have to -- Will a briefing schedule be entered today or not until --

THE COURT: He doesn't have the motion. It's not noticed up today. I was reluctant -- I can do it, if you're agreeable, but I was (indiscernible) Mr. Talarico's comments that he would be objecting.

Mr. Talarico, what do you want to do?

MR. TALARICO: I would object because, your

Honor, first, it's not on the call, and second, I

want to see what's going to happen with the

1 compliance, if there's going to be compliance. Because then my motion to strike the deposition. 2 which is part of his summary judgment motion, would 3 4 be -- have to be --5 THE COURT: I'll take a look at it. I'm not 6 going to enter a briefing schedule. I want to take 7 a look at both motions to determine if we can proceed with the summary judgment or if I've got to 8 9 resolve the motion to strike first. 10 MR. TALARICO: Okay. Thank you, Judge. 11 MR. FLYNN: So the compliance date of the 12 subpoena was October 6th? I just -- I hate to delay 13 this any further. If there's going to be a motion, 14 I would like to see it on file. Fact discovery has 15 been closed for some time. 16 THE COURT: Yeah. You mean the motion to strike 17 the deposition? 18 MR. FLYNN: Right. Right now it's just a 19 thought. There's no motion on file. The fact 20 discovery has been closed --21 THE COURT: I got the idea it was about to be 22 filed, but maybe that was an incorrect assumption. 23 Mr. Talarico?

MR. TALARICO: I'm sorry?

24

THE COURT: When will you file your motion?

MR. TALARICO: When? If I could have a week after the 6th when I know -- I'll file my motion within a week after --

THE COURT: You're filing a motion to strike the deposition, and I -- I didn't know that was dependent on compliance. How is it dependent on compliance?

MR. TALARICO: That's the -- I don't know what information I'm going to get from them regarding the deposition.

THE COURT: Okay. How about we come back October 14th? That gives you enough time. Then your motion will be on file by that day and we can deal with everything.

MR. TALARICO: Fine with me, your Honor.

THE COURT: You know what? I've got to do it on the 13th. I can't do it on the 14th.

Can you make it the 13th at 10:00 o'clock?
MR. TALARICO: I can, Judge.

MR. FLYNN: I'm available. Again, Judge, I've forwarded the exhibit to counsel's attention. I was there at my client's deposition. It was taken by Zoom. The exhibits were scanned electronically. I

just don't see what the issue is. It seems as if it's a (indiscernible) tactic to avoid --

MR. TALARICO: I don't do --

THE COURT: Hold on. I can't rule on the motion until I see it. I can't rule -- I can't determine whether or not the issues raised about the deposition are even relevant to your motion -- to the motion for summary judgment until I see it because I do have questions, and I want to resolve those on my own.

I don't want any unnecessary delay, either, and that's why I'm trying to give this a short date after the compliance date. I do want to move this along, and the Supreme Court tells me it should have been resolved two years ago, but they don't have to deal with the actual case. Not this one in particular, but all cases should be resolved at three.

That being said, is there anything else we need to address today?

MR. TALARICO: No, Judge. The only thing I want to say is happy almost fall to everybody.

THE COURT: So we'll see you at 10:00 o'clock on October 13th.

```
MR. TALARICO:
                         Thank you, Judge.
 1
 2
          THE COURT:
                      And, Mr. Flynn, if you could send in
 3
      the order?
 4
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Judge, just to be clear, it's
      10:00 o'clock?
 5
 6
          THE COURT:
                      Yeah.
 7
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Okay. 10:00 o'clock on 10/13.
 8
      Okay.
 9
          THE COURT:
                      All right. We'll see you then.
10
                          (Which were all the proceedings
11
                           had in the above-entitled cause
12
                           this date.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2) SS:
3	COUNTY OF McHENRY)
4	
5	I, KATHLEEN STROMBACH, an official
6	Court Reporter for the Circuit Court of McHenry
7	County, Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit of Illinois,
8	transcribed the electronic recording of the
9	proceeding in the above-entitled cause to the best
10	of my ability and based on the quality of the
11	recording, and I hereby certify the foregoing to be
12	a true and accurate transcript of said electronic
13	recording.
14	
15	Kathleen Strombach Kathleen Strombach
16	Official Court Reporter License No. 084-003755
17	LICENSE NO. 004-003/33
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	