1	STATE OF ILLINOIS ) SS.
2	COUNTY OF McHENRY )
3	IN THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
4	McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS
5	
6	PAUL DULBERG, )
7	Plaintiff, {
8	vs. \ No. 17 LA 377
9	THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS
10	J. POPOVICH, P.C. and ) HANS MAST,
11	Defendants.
12	ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED Report of
13	Proceedings in the above-entitled cause before the
14	Honorable THOMAS A. MEYER, Judge of said Court of
15	McHenry County, Illinois, on the 7th day of
16	September, 2021, in the McHenry County Government
17	Center, Woodstock, Illinois.
18	APPEARANCES:
19	LAW OFFICE OF ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, by
20	MR. ALPHONSE A. TALARICO (via Zoom)
21	On behalf of the Plaintiff;
22	KARBAL COHEN ECONOMOU SILK DUNNE, LLC, by
23	MR. GEORGE K. FLYNN (via Zoom)
24	On behalf of the Defendants.

```
1
          THE COURT:
                      Dulberg versus Mast?
          MR. TALARICO: Alphonse Talarico for the
 2
 3
      plaintiff, Mr. Dulberg.
          MR. FLYNN: Good morning, your Honor. George
 4
      Flynn for the defendants, the movants.
 5
 6
          THE COURT:
                      All right. I have a defendant motion.
 7
          MR. FLYNN: Yes, we have a motion to deem facts
 8
      admitted as well as response filed --
 9
          THE COURT: Okay. I didn't see that. Do you
10
      want to file a reply?
11
          MR. FLYNN: I don't think it's necessary. I
12
      have a comment or two I'd like to make, but I don't
13
      think I need to file a reply.
14
          THE COURT:
                      If you want to wait until the end of
15
      the call, I'll address it and we'll walk through it.
16
      What would you like to do?
17
          MR. FLYNN:
                      That would work.
                                        The comment is
18
      really just respect to the motion -- with respect --
19
          THE COURT: You have to wait, so --
20
          MR. FLYNN: Fair enough.
21
          THE COURT: I got to take a look at it and I've
22
      got a bunch of people waiting, so I will circle back
23
      to you.
24
                         (Whereupon the afore-captioned
```

1 cause was recalled.) Do we have a defense attorney on 2 THE COURT: 3 Dulberg versus Mast? All right. Mr. Talarico, we're missing a defense --4 5 MR. TALARICO: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Oh, we do? 7 MR. FLYNN: George Flynn for defendants. THE COURT: All right. Oh, we were going to do 8 9 the -- I'm sorry. I skipped ahead. That's okay. 10 MR. FLYNN: THE COURT: 11 There's a lot of people here. 12 (Whereupon the afore-captioned 13 cause was recalled.) 14 All right. I'm going to deal with THE COURT: Mr. Talarico. 15 MR. TALARICO: Yes, your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Going back to your case. A11 Mr. Flynn, what is the basis of your motion? 18 right. 19 MR. FLYNN: Good morning, your Honor. George 20 Flynn on behalf of defendant/movant. The basis is 21 it's a motion to deem facts admitted. We were 22 trying to authentic a document that was the subject of some discussion the last couple of times we 23 24 appeared before your Honor. I filed the request to

We received objections that we believe are 1 admit. 2 inappropriate and just moving for ruling on those 3 objections and some other relief. The -- the 4 response that they filed, essentially is a motion to strike based on the failure to conduct a 201(k) 5 6 conference, which I don't think is required with 7 respect to objections and a request to admit, which 8 is a hybrid discovery and evidentiary tool. 9 So with respect to the motion itself, I 10 really have nothing to say more than what's in the 11 I'd be happy if the Court wanted to take it 12 under advisement after it has an opportunity to 13 review the attachments and the motion. 14 THE COURT: No, I won't take it under 15 advisement. We'll go back to that in a minute. 16 Mr. Talarico, do you have any case law that 17 says a 201(k) conference is required before 216 --18 or in a 216 situation? 19 MR. TALARICO: Yes, your Honor. Supreme Court 20 Rule 201(a) typically says the request to admit --21 THE COURT: Do you have any case law? 22 MR. TALARICO: No, I have no case law, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because I don't think it 23

I think by its own -- by the language of the

24

does.

rule, it's 28 days. And in fact, I believe the rule requires that the request to admit facts explicitly disclosed if you're not -- if you don't respond in 28 days, the answers are deemed admitted. So there is no requirement to engage in a 201(k) conference to resolve differences because by its own language, it resolves itself.

So let's get into the answers. Okay.

So let's get into the answers. Okay.

Anything you want -- I see No. 1, they seem to be asking you to admit or deny the genuineness of the document that was attached?

MR. TALARICO: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you have any -- anything to say beyond what you've written in response?

MR. TALARICO: Your Honor, use of the words defendant put into his motion, request to admit, are subject to various interpretations. And he did not include the definition of the specific words that he was using, so I relied upon the Black's Law Dictionary for definition. And within that, we were -- we reviewed the fact of the document.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TALARICO: The document -- the document is not accurate. It's not true. It's none of the

above. It has a wrong date of accident, the wrong date of meeting. It has a lot of inaccuracies on it, Judge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to strike the implicit objection regarding what is genuine. That being said, I do have what appears to be an admission. Mr. Flynn?

MR. FLYNN: Yeah, Judge. I mean, it's -- I guess if it was an admission buried in these But the entire document is muddled up objections. with these various objections. I'm just asking if this is a true copy of the letter that his client received. I'm not asking if it's -- if information contained is true and accurate. If you read it, it's admit Exhibit A attached hereto is a true, **(**a March 4, 2015, accurate, ap-Should read: can quivel letter draf ul Ferris. He concluded with the content of the letter. That's not what I'm asking about.

MR. TALARICO: Your Honor, that is not in true -- truth is not within that document. That's what we're saying. Those are false statements.

THE COURT: And that's fine. But it is -- he doesn't need to lay a foundation for the document;

1 am I correct?

MR. TALARICO: No. But the question -- I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Are you -- are you admitting -- I'm assuming, Mr. Flynn, this is for purposes of a foundation? You're not asking him to admit the contents?

MR. FLYNN: That's correct. This is produced --again, late produced in discovery after the plaintiff's deposition. He should have produced this document years ago when he's placed the discovery of his malpractice at issue. So then he produces this letter. I don't want to have to take Saul Ferris's deposition, so I'm just asking, this is the letter that Mr. Dulberg produced and that it's a genuine copy of what he received in the mail?

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Talarico, yes or no?

MR. TALARICO: Judge, that is a genuine copy.

We don't know -- when examined, Mr. Dulberg does not recall. And in the deposition, he said he did not recall when he received it or how he received it.

That is left open.

THE COURT: Mr. Talarico, I asked you a yes or no question, not asking for an explanation, which is consistent with what request to admit facts require.

1 So are you admitting to the foundation of this 2 document or denying --MR. TALARICO: Yes, your Honor. 3 4 THE COURT: Okay. Then we will proceed. That's 5 deemed admitted for purposes of foundation. 6 Next one -- Mr. Flynn, the next one at issue? 7 MR. FLYNN: Judge, there was 2 and 3, and I 8 attempted to pin them down on when he received it. So I asked No. 2, if Mr. Dulberg received a copy of 9 10 this letter within 7 days of the date dated. And then, the next one, I asked if he received it within 11 12 30 days of the date it was dated. He doesn't answer 13 either of those. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Talarico? 15 MR. TALARICO: Judge, with all due respect. 16 Mr. Dulberg answered as best he could. This was 17 alleged to be sent by U.S. Mail. He has no idea. 18 It was many years ago. So he answered as 19 truthfully, as cooperatively as possible, that he 20 has no independent recollection of when this letter 21 was received. He did a search of his own records, 22 as presumed, at my request. He has no envelope. 23 THE COURT: If -- what it boils down to from my

perspective is I'm reading it as a denial.

24

1 actually, that subjects you to 219(c) fees if they 2 have -- for those fees associated with the cost of proving it up. But I'm reading it as a denial. Can 3 4 I -- do you have any problem with my reading it as a Am I incorrect? 5 denial? MR. TALARICO: No, your Honor, you're not. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Flynn, anything you want My interpretation of all of that is a denial. 8 to add? 9 MR. FLYNN: If that's what the answer is, then 10 he's denied that he received this letter within 11 30 days of the date that the lawyer put the --12 stamped it. So yeah, if I need to prove it up by 13 taking Mr. Dulberg's -- retaking Dulberg's 14 deposition and then taking Saul Ferris's deposition, 15 and as I've indicated in the motion, I'm seeking 16 fees and costs. 17 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm going to interpret 30 -or I'm sorry 18 interpret that as Missing: Flynn: Okay 19 a denial and 🥦 √e it up. 20 Next one? 21 MR. FLYNN: The next one is just regarding the meeting that is referenced in the letter. Admit 22 23 that you met with Saul Ferris upon or about 24 December 31, 2014, with regard to your personal

injury case.

THE COURT: Okay. I --

MR. FLYNN: And he's denying -- he denied the date. He then says it's a later time period between February 23rd and March 6th of 2015, which also coincided with the drafting of that letter, by the way. So he's changed the premise of No. 4, but sort of provided an answer --

THE COURT: I think that's a denial because of the way you phrase your question. Anything after denies that he met Saul Ferris on or about December 31, 2014, with regard to -- with regard to the personal injury case, everything after that is surplusage. So you have a denial. All right. Is there anything else?

MR. FLYNN: No. The relief will be requested now that these denials and improper objections were raised. I'm going to have to retake Mr. Dulberg's deposition at least on the subject matter of this letter and I'll probably have to take Mr. Ferris's deposition to prove-up the foundation for the letter as well.

THE COURT: Certainly --

MR. FLYNN: So I would ask for fees and costs.

THE COURT: You have leave to depose Mr. Ferris.

I'm not sure you need Mr. Dulberg's deposition -
I'm willing to listen -- because your deposition of

Mr. Dulberg would merely result in him repeating --

MR. FLYNN: Raising the same denial, so --

THE COURT: I mean, he's on the record denied any recollection. So I don't think you need the deposition to get him to say that in the transcript because you've got it in the request to admit. And I'll hold him to that unless there's something else you think you need from the deposition.

MR. FLYNN: No, Judge. I -- as you said, I think he's going to make the same denials and in my opinion play the same games he's been playing. So I'll take Mr. Ferris's deposition. I'll seek -- I'm requesting fees and costs in connection with the deposition because it shouldn't be necessary.

THE COURT: Well, I think -- and unless there's a different issue with respect to the cost associated with that deposition, I think that's an issue that I would have to address after trial because my reference to 219(c) is when you have to expend money to prove-up a fact that they deny, then you are entitled to those fees, but -- so I couldn't

award them yet because you haven't --1 2 MR. FLYNN: Fair enough. 3 THE COURT: -- you haven't done it. And I can 4 only do that after the fact because if you fail to prove it up, you're not entitled to those fees, 5 6 obviously. 7 MR. FLYNN: Understood. THE COURT: So is there anything else we need to 8 9 do today? 10 I don't think so, Judge. If I could MR. FLYNN: 11 just clarify the order that will read that No. 1 is 12 admitted, 2, 3, and 4 are denied. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. FLYNN: That I have leave to depose 15 Mr. Ferris. 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MR. FLYNN: And then I assume come back for 18 status. 19 THE COURT: Yeah, we're back on September 17th. 20 That's awful soon in light of what you're now going 21 I'm thinking more like 60 days unless you 22 guys have a better idea. 23 MR. FLYNN: I agree. 24 MR. TALARICO: Judge?

```
60 days puts us into -- actually
 1
          THE COURT:
 2
      November 8th is my default date. Any time earlier
 3
      is fine.
                      That works for me.
 4
          MR. FLYNN:
 5
          MR. TALARICO: Fine, Judge.
          THE COURT: All right. Mr. Flynn, since it's
 6
 7
      going to be a more complicated order, can you send
 8
      it in?
 9
          MR. FLYNN:
                      Yes.
10
          THE COURT:
                     Can you copy --
11
          MR. FLYNN:
                     I will.
12
          THE COURT: Do you have our address?
13
          MR. FLYNN: I do, I do. And I'll send a copy of
14
      the draft to Mr. Talarico this morning. I'd like to
15
      hear back from him by noon so there's no confusion.
                      0kay.
16
          THE COURT:
                             I will --
17
          MR. FLYNN:
                      All right.
18
          THE COURT: -- wait for the order. And then
      otherwise -- and please strike September 17th.
19
20
                      We will. Thank you, Judge.
          MR. FLYNN:
21
          THE COURT:
                      Thank you.
22
                         (End of proceedings.)
23
24
```

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )
2	SS: COUNTY OF McHENRY
3	
4	I, CRISTIN M. KELLY, an official Court
5	Reporter for the Circuit Court of McHenry County,
6	Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit of Illinois,
7	transcribed the electronic recording of the
8	proceeding in the above-entitled cause to the best
9	of my ability and based on the quality of the
10	recording, and I hereby certify the foregoing to be
11	a true and accurate transcript of said electronic
12	recording.
13	
14	
15	Certified Shorthand Reporter
16	Certified Shorthand Reporter License No. 084-004529 Date: September 10, 2021
17	Bato. 00ptombol 10, 2021
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	