1	Date: 8/24/2021 STATE OF ILLINOIS) Clerk of the Circu
2	COUNTY OF McHENRY) SS.
3	
4	IN THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS
5	
6	PAUL DULBERG,
7	Plaintiff, }
8	vs. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
9	THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS
10	J. POPOVICH, P.C. and) HANS MAST,
11	Defendants.)
12	ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED Report of
13	Proceedings in the above-entitled cause before the
14	Honorable THOMAS A. MEYER, Judge of said Court of
15	McHenry County, Illinois, on the 19th day of July,
16	2021, in the McHenry County Government Center,
17	Woodstock, Illinois.
18	APPEARANCES:
19	LAW OFFICE OF ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, by MR. ALPHONSE A. TALARICO (via Zoom)
20	On behalf of the Plaintiff;
21	on behalf of the frametri,
22	KARBAL COHEN ECONOMOU SILK DUNNE, LLC, by MR. GEORGE K. FLYNN
23	On behalf of the Defendants.
24	on benati of the berendants.

1	THE COURT: Counsel?
2	MR. FLYNN: No. 10, your Honor. I see
3	Mr. Talarico. George Flynn on behalf of
4	defendant/movant.
5	THE COURT: Dulberg versus Mast?
6	MR. FLYNN: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. I saw Mr. Talarico. All
8	right. Mr. Talarico?
9	MR. TALARICO: Yes, Judge. Good morning.
10	THE COURT: All right. Counselor here in court,
11	what's going on?
12	MR. FLYNN: Good morning, your Honor. We
13	brought a motion to supplement our motion to compel.
14	The Court ruled on April 6th and granted defendant's
15	motion to compel and set a June 14 compliance date.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. FLYNN: I'm sorry. June 1st compliance date
18	with a June 14 hearing. Somehow I don't believe we
19	got a copy of the file stamped order and it didn't
20	get diaried, so I believe the case was called on
21	June 14
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. FLYNN: and a continue date August 19th.
24	THE COURT: You got inadequate compliance, is

1	that ultimately
2	MR. FLYNN: That's our position, yes.
3	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to pass this.
4	We'll come back to it. And let me see if I can take
5	a look at the compliance at issue.
6	Mr. Talarico, just hang in there. I'll be
7	back at the end of the call.
8	MR. FLYNN: Thank you, your Honor.
9	(Whereupon the afore-captioned
10	cause was recalled.)
11	THE COURT: Let's go to Dulberg. All right.
12	Plaintiff's counsel for the record, if you could
13	identify yourself.
14	MR. TALARICO: Good morning, your Honor. My
15	name is Alphonse Talarico. I represent Paul
16	Dulberg.
17	MR. FLYNN: And good morning again, your Honor.
18	George Flynn on behalf of Popovich and Mast.
19	THE COURT: All right. So tell me what the
20	issue is.
21	MR. FLYNN: Again, your Honor, the Court ruled
22	on April 6th that the plaintiff was directed to
23	provide the specific answers and responses to each
24	interrogatory and production request. So we did

1 receive supplemental production responses and a 2 supplemental interrogatory answer. With respect to 3 the supplemental production, there is one document 4 that I consider to be responsive and that is new. 5 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 6 MR. FIYNN: There is one document that was 7 produced and I consider it to be responsive and a 8 new production. The rest of the documents that were 9 produced, it's unusual. There are actual pleadings 10 from this case that were attached as responsive 11 documents to my discovery requests. I don't see how 12 those -- which basically just set forth the 13 plaintiff's position in this case in response to the 14 various arguments we've made in motions. 15 THE COURT: Well, what is it you're looking for? 16 What didn't you get? 17 MR. FLYNN: I'm looking to strike any of those 18 documents --19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. FLYNN: -- that are not responsive. 21 THE COURT: Is it -- I mean, really is it 22 necessary to go to the trouble of striking them if they're -- I mean, ultimately they're not going to 23 24 be relevant as a discovery response.

1 MR. FLYNN: Only -- I just want to make sure there aren't any additional documents that were --2 3 THE COURT: Are there any additional documents, 4 Mr. Talarico? MR. TALARICO: Judge, I have no idea if -- it's 5 6 our position we complied completely. We filed our 7 answers on June 1st. If the Court had -- I don't 8 know if the Court remembers, you had ordered us, plaintiff and defendant, to talk up through 9 10 June 14th to see if there were any issues. The only 11 response I got from the defendants was an e-mail 12 with one word. As I told you on June 14th, the only 13 word was, Thank you. Now I am totally surprised, 14 73 days later, Judge, and I don't know what else --I want time to respond in writing, Judge. 15 16 is --17 I don't -- I don't want to do that. THE COURT: 18 MR. TALARICO: This has been difficult. 19 THE COURT: This is --20 MR. FLYNN: Yes, it has, Judge. 21 THE COURT: So what is it you -- what is it you 22 are looking for? Because I have a representation on the record -- and I'm assuming there's an affidavit 23 24 of compliance.

1 MR. TALARICO: There is. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Then -- and he says, I've 3 given you everything. 4 MR. FLYNN: That's fine with respect to the production response. Now there's the interrogatory 5 6 answer. THE COURT: All right. Tell me -- we're moving 7 8 on to the interrogatory. 9 MR. FLYNN: And again, this goes to the statute 10 of limitations on a legal malpractice case. 11 plaintiff is claiming that he didn't discover it 12 until after the 2 years --13 THE COURT: Could you keep your voice up a 14 little? 15 Sure. Plaintiff is arguing for a MR. FLYNN: tolling of the statute of limitations on a legal 16 17 malpractice case. He was asked in Interrogatory 18 No. 1, Identify and describe each and every way that 19 Popovich or Mast breached any duty of care to you, 20 the date of the breach, and when and how you became 21 aware of the breach. 22 His response -- his amended additional 23 response discusses his pecuniary injury, that only 24 addresses damages. With respect to the breach of

1 the standard of care and how he discovered it, he 2 simply says he knew that the defendants breached the 3 standard of care due him based upon a verbal 4 discussion with Attorney Tom Gooch on December 16, 5 2016. 6 THE COURT: Okav. 7 MR. FLYNN: That describes the date. It doesn't 8 describe how he became aware of it, what Gooch told Now, again, I know your Honor is aware of the 9 him. 10 deposition testimony in this case regarding that 11 December 16 time period. If the answer is that 12 Dulberg doesn't remember what Mr. Gooch told him, if 13 Gooch said simply, You have a case, that's fine. 14 That's what they should say. But I've already taken 15 his deposition. There are no specifics that explain 16 to me why Mr. Gooch crystallized this breach of the 17 standard of care on December 16. But if this is all 18 they have, then that's what he should say, is that I 19 don't remember what Mr. Gooch told me. 20 I mean, he's -- I think he's THE COURT: 21 complied. I'm not sure --22 MR. FLYNN: What is the breach of the standard 23 of care? THE COURT: I'm sorry? 24

1	MR. FLYNN: And what is the breach of the
2	standard of care? That's what I've asked in the
3	interrogatory. They don't say.
4	THE COURT: Well, I think that all right. I
5	guess that is my reading on it, it's implied it's
6	a statute of limitations. But
7	MR. FLYNN: No, the statute of limitations is
8	the issue in this case.
9	THE COURT: All right. What is the
10	MR. FLYNN: The underlying personal injury
11	case
12	THE COURT: What is the breach? Did Mr. Gooch
13	advise him what the breach was?
14	MR. TALARICO: Judge, all that Mr. Dulberg
15	recalls was relayed in the responses. There were no
16	recordings that were going on. Nothing was done in
17	writing. I'm not sure how I can possibly respond
18	anymore, to give anymore.
19	THE COURT: I have a representation that this is
20	all there is.
21	MR. FLYNN: That's satisfactory to me. As long
22	as when I file my summary judgment motion there's
23	not some new discovery discussion as to
24	MR. TALARICO: Judge

1 MR. FLYNN: -- what the breach was and what --2 MR. TALARICO: I'm sorry. I hate to interrupt. Judge? 3 4 THE COURT: Yeah. 5 MR. TALARICO: We -- again, we were -- our 6 response, I believe is in total compliance with the 7 Court order of June 6th and your instructions on that day from the court record. And I'd like to 8 9 respond in writing to establish that we did that. 10 THE COURT: No. No. I mean, you're -- you only 11 need to respond in writing if we're going to have a 12 hearing. If you want to file a brief that -- just 13 in the file, that's fine, but I think we have a 14 resolution today and I don't want to spend more time 15 reading briefs resolving an issue that's moot. think this is resolved. What else is outstanding? 16 17 MR. FLYNN: I think that does resolve -- the 18 representation resolves both issues, so --19 THE COURT: I have -- you have advised -- well, 20 you've advised that's all there is, so I'm finding 21 you in compliance. 22 MR. TALARICO: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else we 23 24 need to do?

1 MR. FLYNN: I suppose with respect to the 2 summary judgment motion that I anticipate, Judge, 3 there was one document that was produced in order to 4 avoid a second deposition of Mr. Dulberg to 5 authenticate this document, which is a letter from 6 Attorney Thompson -- I'm sorry -- Attorney Ferris --7 that goes to the issue of the statute of If Mr. Talarico would stipulate to the 8 limitations. 9 authenticity of this March 4, 2015 letter on the record, I don't need to send a request to admit 10 for --11 12 THE COURT: Can you hear all that? 13 I heard it, Judge, but I'm not MR. TALARICO: 14 familiar with that document. A request to admit 15 would be welcome. 16 MR. FLYNN: Fair enough. 17 MR. TALARICO: Just so I can see what it is. THE COURT: That's fine. We're back again on 18 19 August 19th. Do you want to delay that date in 20 light of the fact you may be issuing a request to 21 admit? 22 MR. FLYNN: I think that would make sense. THE COURT: All right. So let's strike 23 24 August 19th and tell me when it makes sense to come

1 back. 2 MR. FLYNN: I'll need at least 30 days, so --3 THE COURT: 60 days? 4 MR. FLYNN: A 60-day date would be great. THE COURT: How's September 17th? That's a 5 6 Friday. 7 MR. TALARICO: Fine with me, Judge. MR. FLYNN: 8 That works for me. 9 THE COURT: All right. So that will be at 8:45 10 and then we'll see what you guys want to do when you 11 come back. And are you withdrawing your motion 12 or ... 13 MR. FLYNN: I think that --14 THE COURT: Or do you want me expressly to find 15 compliance based on representations in open court? 16 MR. FLYNN: I'm not requesting a hearing any 17 longer. I think we resolved the matter. So yeah, 18 I'll withdraw it. 19 THE COURT: All right. Motion's withdrawn. The 20 record still stands. I did find that you were in 21 compliance and we'll deal with the next step 22 whenever it comes up. But I will see you September 17th and if you could draft the order. 23 24 I will. Thank you, your Honor. MR. FLYNN:

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
2	Mr. Talarico, anything else?
3	MR. TALARICO: No, Judge, thank you for your
4	time.
5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
6	(Which were all the proceedings
7	had in the above-entitled cause
8	this date.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2	COUNTY OF McHENRY)
3	
4	I, CRISTIN M. KELLY, an official Court
5	Reporter for the Circuit Court of McHenry County,
6	Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit of Illinois,
7	transcribed the electronic recording of the
8	proceeding in the above-entitled cause to the best
9	of my ability and based on the quality of the
10	recording, and I hereby certify the foregoing to be
11	a true and accurate transcript of said electronic
12	recording.
13	
14	1
15	Cristin M. Kelly Certified Shorthand Reporter
16	License No. 084-004529 Date: August 24, 2021
17	bato: //agast 21, 2021
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	