From: Office Office office@goochfirm.com &

Subject: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

**Date:** August 31, 2018 at 9:02 AM **To:** me pdulberg@comcast.net

Cc: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com, Sabina Walczyk swalczyk@goochfirm.com, Nikki nikki@goochfirm.com

# Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.



Letter to Judge Meyer...ed.pdf From: me pdulberg@comcast.net @

Subject: Fwd: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: August 31, 2018 at 9:12 AM
To: T Kost tkost999@gmail.com



----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

**Date:**Fri, 31 Aug 2018 14:00:13 +0000 **From:**Office Office <a href="mailto:combounded-combound-combo

To:me <pdulberg@comcast.net>

**CC:**Thomas W. Gooch III <a href="mailto:sqoochgoochfirm.com">goochfirm.com</a>, Sabina Walczyk <a href="mailto:swalczyk@goochfirm.com">swalczyk@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:sqoochfirm.com">sqoochfirm.com</a>, Sabina Walczyk <a href="mailto:swalczyk@goochfirm.com">swalczyk@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:sqoochfirm.com">sqoochfirm.com</a>, N

# Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.



Letter to Judge

Meyer...ed.pdf

From: me pdulberg@comcast.net

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: August 31, 2018 at 9:21 AM

To: Office Office office@goochfirm.com

Cc: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com, Sabina Walczyk goochfirm.com, Nikki nikki@goochfirm.com

Thank you for the copy.

My blood boils when I read their responses so I'll need the weekend to cool off and look at this objectively.

Thank you again,

Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM. Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)



From: Office Office office@goochfirm.com

Subject: RE: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: August 31, 2018 at 9:46 AM
To: me pdulberg@comcast.net

I am sure. Im sorry! Don't let them ruin your weekend! Enjoy!

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

From: me <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2018 9:21 AM
To: Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>

**Cc:** Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk <swalczyk@goochfirm.com>;

Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

**Subject:** Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Thank you for the copy.

My blood boils when I read their responses so I'll need the weekend to cool off and look at this objectively.

Thank you again,

Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in

C----

Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: September 7, 2018 at 10:06 AM

To: Office Office office@goochfirm.com

Cc: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com, Sabina Walczyk swalczyk@goochfirm.com, Nikki nikki@goochfirm.com



Will see you on Monday to discuss

Thanks,

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)



Subject: Fwd: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

**Date:** September 7, 2018 at 10:07 AM

To: tkost999@gmail.com



----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date:Fri, 7 Sep 2018 10:06:08 -0500

From:Paul Dulberg <u><pdulberg@comcast.net></u>
To:Office Office <u><office@goochfirm.com></u>

**CC:**Thomas W. Gooch III <a href="mailto:square: goochfirm.com">square: goochfirm.com</a>, Sabina Walczyk <a href="mailto:swalczyk@goochfirm.com">swalczyk@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:square: goochfirm.com">square: square: square: goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:square: goochfirm.com">square: square: squ

Please find the attached comments\_on\_Letter\_to\_Judge\_Meyer.txt file

Will see you on Monday to discuss

Thanks, Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)



Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

**Date:** September 12, 2018 at 12:33 PM **To:** Office Office office@goochfirm.com

Cc: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com, Sabina Walczyk swalczyk@goochfirm.com, Nikki nikki@goochfirm.com



I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you. Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon.

Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I need to go get the transcript at the county administrative office or is this something you can do digitally?

Thanks,

Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)



Subject: Fwd: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: September 12, 2018 at 12:34 PM

To: tkost999@gmail.com



----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date:Wed, 12 Sep 2018 12:33:27 -0500
From:Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:pdulberg@comcast.net">pdulberg@comcast.net</a>
To:Office Office <a href="mailto:office@goochfirm.com">office@goochfirm.com</a>

**CC:**Thomas W. Gooch III <a href="mailto:square: goochfirm.com">goochfirm.com</a>, Sabina Walczyk <a href="mailto:swalczyk@goochfirm.com">swalczyk@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:square: square: square: goochfirm.com">square: square: squ

Hi Sabina, Tom,

I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you.

Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon.

Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I need to go get the transcript at the county administrative office or is this something you can do digitally?

Thanks, Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver,

distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

**Date:** September 19, 2018 at 9:06 AM **To:** Office Office office@goochfirm.com

Cc: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com, Sabina Walczyk swalczyk@goochfirm.com, Nikki nikki@goochfirm.com

Hi Tom, Sabina,

May I get the digital copy of the court order and transcript from 9/12/2018?

Thanks, Paul 847-497-4250

#### On 9/12/2018 12:33 PM, Paul Dulberg wrote:

Hi Sabina, Tom,

I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you.

Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon.

Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I need to go get the transcript at the county administrative office or is this something you can do digitally?

Thanks, Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)





Subject: Fwd: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: September 19, 2018 at 9:07 AM

To: tkost999@gmail.com



----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date:Wed, 19 Sep 2018 09:06:52 -0500

From:Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:pdu/duberg@comcast.net">pdulberg@comcast.net</a>

To:Office Office <a href="mailto:office@goochfirm.com">office@goochfirm.com</a>

**CC:**Thomas W. Gooch III <a href="mailto:square: goochfirm.com">square: goochfirm.com</a>, Sabina Walczyk <a href="mailto:swalczyk@goochfirm.com">swalczyk@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:square: goochfirm.com">square: square: square: goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:square: goochfirm.com">square: square: squ

Hi Tom, Sabina,

May I get the digital copy of the court order and transcript from 9/12/2018?

Thanks, Paul 847-497-4250

On 9/12/2018 12:33 PM, Paul Dulberg wrote:

Hi Sabina, Tom,

I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you.

Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon.

Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I need to go get the transcript at the county administrative office or is this something you can do digitally?

Thanks,

Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

From: Sabina Walczyk swalczyk@goochfirm.com &

Subject: RE: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: September 19, 2018 at 11:52 AM

**To:** Paul Dulberg @comcast.net, Office Office @goochfirm.com **Cc:** Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com, Nikki nikki@goochfirm.com

Hi Paul we are still waiting for the transcript but attached is the Order.

Sabina D. Walczyk Associate Attorney The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 9:07 AM

To: Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>

**Cc:** Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Hi Tom, Sabina,

May I get the digital copy of the court order and transcript from 9/12/2018?

Thanks, Paul 847-497-4250

### On 9/12/2018 12:33 PM, Paul Dulberg wrote:

Hi Sabina, Tom

I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you. Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon.

Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I need to go get the transcript at the county administrative office or is this something you can do digitally?

Thanks, Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

IN THE CI	RCUIT C	OURT OF THE	22 <sup>ND</sup> JUDICI	IAL CIRCUIT
STATE OF ILLINOIS	)			12 1 1 227
COUNTY OF MCHENRY	) SS )		GEN. NO	J7 LA 377 Plury   Non-Jury

Dulbers SEP 1 2 2018 P.povice + Most Date: 9-12-18 Plaintiff's Attorney S. C. Walezyk Attorney Goog. Flyan ORDER This matter coming to be head on Defendants! Motion to Dismoss Plaintitts First Amended Complaint, it is hereby ordered: 1) Octandents' motion is greated as more fully described on the record and below, procure 2) Paragrapsis 21(6), (E), (F), (S), (G), (G), (K), (D), (m), (b), and (c) are stricken as conclosions or being redundant. 3) Plaintitt is granted leave to replied by

October 10, 2018. Defendants' to File a responsive pleading by November 7, 2018. 4) Status harring on November 13, 2018 of 9:00 cm.

Prepared by: Flynn

Attorney for: O. Andre

Attorney Registration No.: 6239349 Judge:

From: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com

Subject: RE: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: September 19, 2018 at 12:01 PM

To: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net, Office Office office@goochfirm.com Cc: Sabina Walczyk swalczyk@goochfirm.com, Nikki nikki@goochfirm.com

Court order is not as problem, get it to you today. The transcript is expensive and needs to be ordered from the court which we can do but I believe is a waste of money pls advise if you wish me to order it. We are preparing the amended complaint. You need to realize it is not at all unusual to have a complaint struck and dismissed without prejudice 2 or 3 times even in a case, its based on the law and the need to say more.

In any event I am working on an amended complaint now and intend to file early. In the meantime we are going to proceed with discovery to keep things moving.

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 9:07 AM

To: Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>

Cc: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk <swalczyk@goochfirm.com>;

Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Hi Tom, Sabina,

May I get the digital copy of the court order and transcript from 9/12/2018?

Thanks, Paul 847-497-4250

## On 9/12/2018 12:33 PM, Paul Dulberg wrote:

Hi Sabina, Tom,

I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you. Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon.

Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I need to go get the transcript at the county administrative office or is this something you can do digitally?

Thanks, Paul

#### On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

TO 1 . 1 1 . 1

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: September 19, 2018 at 2:05 PM

To: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com



Thanks Tom,

I'm willing to pay for the transcript.

It details which parts of the order were struck down for redundancy and which were considered conclusions. it should help you in writing the amended complaint.

Thank You again,

Paul

On 9/19/2018 11:58 AM. Thomas W. Gooch III wrote:

Court order is not as problem, get it to you today. The transcript is expensive and needs to be ordered from the court which we can do but I believe is a waste of money pls advise if you wish me to order it. We are preparing the amended complaint. You need to realize it is not at all unusual to have a complaint struck and dismissed without prejudice 2 or 3 times even in a case, its based on the law and the need to say more.

In any event I am working on an amended complaint now and intend to file early. In the meantime we are going to proceed with discovery to keep things moving.

From: Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:cpdulberg@comcast.net">cpdulberg@comcast.net</a>>

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 9:07 AM

**To:** Office Office <a href="mailto:office@goochfirm.com">office@goochfirm.com</a>>

Cc: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Hi Tom, Sabina,

May I get the digital copy of the court order and transcript from 9/12/2018?

Thanks, Paul 847-497-4250

### On 9/12/2018 12:33 PM, Paul Dulberg wrote:

Hi Sabina, Tom,

I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you. Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon.

Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I need to go get the transcript at the county administrative office or is this something you can do digitally?

Thanks,

Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their

ואוטנוטוז נט טואוווא מוטוון with their letter to the Juuge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

Subject: Fwd: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: September 19, 2018 at 2:06 PM

To: tkost999@gmail.com



----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date:Wed, 19 Sep 2018 14:05:44 -0500 From:Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:pdu/puberg@comcast.net">pdulberg@comcast.net</a>

To:Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>

Thanks Tom,

I'm willing to pay for the transcript.

It details which parts of the order were struck down for redundancy and which were considered conclusions. it should help you in writing the amended complaint.

Thank You again,

Paul

On 9/19/2018 11:58 AM, Thomas W. Gooch III wrote:

Court order is not as problem, get it to you today. The transcript is expensive and needs to be ordered from the court which we can do but I believe is a waste of money pls advise if you wish me to order it. We are preparing the amended complaint. You need to realize it is not at all unusual to have a complaint struck and dismissed without prejudice 2 or 3 times even in a case, its based on the law and the need to say more.

In any event I am working on an amended complaint now and intend to file early. In the meantime we are going to proceed with discovery to keep things moving.

From: Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:specification.com/paulousess-specification.com/sp

**To:** Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>

Cc: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Hi Tom, Sabina,

May I get the digital copy of the court order and transcript from 9/12/2018?

Thanks, Paul 847-497-4250

### On 9/12/2018 12:33 PM, Paul Dulberg wrote:

Hi Sabina, Tom,

I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you. Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon.

Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I wood to accept the transprint of the pariety administrative affice or in this competition you can be disitally.

Thanks, Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: September 19, 2018 at 2:06 PM

To: Sabina Walczyk swalczyk@goochfirm.com



Thank You

On 9/19/2018 11:52 AM, Sabina Walczyk wrote:

Hi Paul we are still waiting for the transcript but attached is the Order.

Sabina D. Walczyk
Associate Attorney
The Gooch Firm
209 South Main Street
Wauconda, Illinois 60084
(847) 526-0110 (phone)
(847) 526-0603 (fax)

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

From: Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:specification.com/paulouses-pdulberg@comcast.net">pdulberg@comcast.net</a></a></a>Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 9:07 AM

**To:** Office Office <a href="mailto:office@goochfirm.com">office@goochfirm.com</a>>

Cc: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Hi Tom, Sabina,

May I get the digital copy of the court order and transcript from 9/12/2018?

Thanks, Paul 847-497-4250

# On 9/12/2018 12:33 PM, Paul Dulberg wrote:

Hi Sabina. Tom.

I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you. Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon.

Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I need to go get the transcript at the county administrative office or is this something you can do digitally?

Thanks, Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

Subject: Fwd: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: September 19, 2018 at 2:07 PM

To: tkost999@gmail.com



----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject:Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date:Wed, 19 Sep 2018 14:06:41 -0500
From:Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
To:Sabina Walczyk <swalczyk@goochfirm.com>

Thank You

On 9/19/2018 11:52 AM, Sabina Walczyk wrote:

Hi Paul we are still waiting for the transcript but attached is the Order.

Sabina D. Walczyk Associate Attorney The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 9:07 AM

**To:** Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>

**Cc:** Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Hi Tom, Sabina,

May I get the digital copy of the court order and transcript from 9/12/2018?

Thanks.

### On 9/12/2018 12:33 PM, Paul Dulberg wrote:

Hi Sabina, Tom,

I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you. Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon. Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I need to go get the transcript at the county administrative office or is this something you can do digitally?

Thanks, Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

Subject: Fwd: RE: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date: September 20, 2018 at 10:15 AM

To: tkost999@gmail.com



----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject: RE: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Date:Wed, 19 Sep 2018 16:52:11 +0000

From:Sabina Walczyk <swalczyk@goochfirm.com>

**To:**Paul Dulberg <u><pdulberg@comcast.net></u>, Office Office <u><office@goochfirm.com></u> **CC:**Thomas W. Gooch III <u><qooch@goochfirm.com></u>, Nikki <u><nikki@goochfirm.com></u>

Hi Paul we are still waiting for the transcript but attached is the Order.

Sabina D. Walczyk Associate Attorney The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

From: Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:specification.com/paulousessa">specification.com/paulousessa</a> 2018 9:07 AM

**To:** Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>

Cc: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Dulberg vs. Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich, P.C., et a.

Hi Tom, Sabina,

May I get the digital copy of the court order and transcript from 9/12/2018?

Thanks, Paul

### On 9/12/2018 12:33 PM, Paul Dulberg wrote:

Hi Sabina, Tom,

I missed either of you in court this morning. I did not bring my phone into the courthouse so I couldn't call you. Hope nothing bad happened to delay you and that everyone is okay.

From what I understood, Judge Meyer moved forward without you and struck down the vast majority of our amended pleading as conclusions or redundant.

I have a pink copy of the courts order that I can drop off at your office this afternoon. Judge Meyer suggested that we get a copy of the hearing transcript that would better explain his order.

Do I need to go get the transcript at the county administrative office or is this something you can do digitally?

Thanks, Paul

On 8/31/2018 9:00 AM, Office Office wrote:

Dear Mr. Dulberg:

Attached please find the Defendants Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss along with their letter to the Judge.

Please note there is a hearing on their Motion to Dismiss set for September 12, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. We will keep you advised of what transpires that day in Court.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Melissa J. Podgorski Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

GEN NO 12 1 4 377
COUNTY OF MCHENRY ) GEN. NO. 17 LA 377
Date: 9-12-18 Plaintiff's Attorney 5. C.m. Walczyk Attorney George Flyan
ORDER
The de to bear on Defendants!
Motion to Dismoss Plaintitis First Amended
Motion to Dismos Plaintitts Time
A alact it is hereby or here
1) Octantents' motion is greated as more fully  leseribed on the record and below, processing  described on the record and below, processing
the record and below, policy
1 21(h) (c) (d) (s) (h) (i) (k)
2) Paragraps 31(6), (E), (F), (S), (A), (i), (K),
(1), (m), 6), and (6) are stricken as conclosions
or being redundant.
3) Plaintité is granted leave to replant by October 10, 2018. Defendants' to tile a responsible
October 10, 2018. Defendants' to File a responsible
ali-lie by povember 1, 2010,
4) Status harring - November 13, 2018 of 9:00 cm.
Prepared by: Flyan
Attorney for: O. Andreds
Attorney Registration No.: 6239349 Judge: Judge:

From: T Kost tkost999@gmail.com 
Subject: from tom
Date: October 2, 2018 at 7:32 AM
To: me pdulberg@comcast.net

#### see attached



second\_amende d\_complaint.txt

From: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net @

Subject: Fwd: from tom

Date: October 2, 2018 at 9:11 AM

To: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com, Sabina Walczyk swalczyk@goochfirm.com, Office Office@goochfirm.com,

Nikki nikki@goochfirm.com

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject:from tom

Date:Tue, 2 Oct 2018 07:32:00 -0500
From:T Kost <a href="mailto:tkost999@gmail.com">tkost999@gmail.com</a>
To:me <a href="mailto:pdulberg@comcast.net">pdulberg@comcast.net</a>



From: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com

Subject: RE: from tom

Date: October 2, 2018 at 1:06 PM

To: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net, Sabina Walczyk swalczyk@goochfirm.com, Office Office@goochfirm.com, Nikki

nikki@goochfirm.com

#### Mr. Duhlberg;

I have your attachment and am deeply offended by it.

I more upset over being ordered to call you today. I am preparing for trial and frankly don't have time to read or comment on your attempts to educate me on what legal malpractice is all about, I particularly don't have time top read outdated cases on the elements of a legal malpractice case, nor do I have any intention of quoting the law you sent to me.

You understand full well I'm sure that I have been doing this for a very long time, if I need help on understanding the law I will get from someone who knows how to do legal research, you and your brother don't.

If I have anymore of this authoritative comments or instructions I will have to give particular thought to withdrawing my appearance and letting you represent your self or find someone else, understand this is not an empty threat, I will tolerate any more of this. If I need a factual question answered and I'm sure I will in the course of this litigation then I will ask you but kindly stop with rudimentary research. The Google searches of you and your brother are not replacements for my law license.

I generally don't have a proble3m with relatives helping out and being involved just so long as the client understands that the relatives involvement may waive the attorney client privilege. However at this point your brother has become more the problem then helpful. While I can not prevent him from injecting himself into your case through you, I am no longer willing to have him present at conferences or communicate directly with me.

At this point with everything I have going and the attitude you are displaying I have serious doubts as continuing to represent you. Kindly do not communicate with my staff on the telephone in the manner you chose today

### Sincerely

Thomas W Gooch
The Gooch Firm
209 S. Main Street
Wauconda, Illinois 60084
847.526.0110
Gooch@goochfirm.com
WWW.Goochfirm.com

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this

waaraaaa ta jaa ... arrai, piawaa ......aaraaa, waart waa aarraa. 27 rapij a riiwii wiia waart waa

message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 9:11 AM

To: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk <swalczyk@goochfirm.com>;

Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Subject: Fwd: from tom

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: from tom

Pate:Tue, 2 Oct 2018 07:32:00 -0500
From:T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
To:me pdulberg@comcast.net>

From: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net

Subject: Fwd: RE: from tom
Date: October 2, 2018 at 2:06 PM
To: tkost999@gmail.com



----- Forwarded Message ------**Subject:**RE: from tom

Date:Tue, 2 Oct 2018 18:06:07 +0000

From: Thomas W. Gooch III < gooch@goochfirm.com>

**To:**Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:pdulberg@comcast.net">pdulberg@comcast.net</a>, Sabina Walczyk <a href="mailto:swalczyk@goochfirm.com">swalczyk@goochfirm.com</a>, Office Office <a href="mailto:office@goochfirm.com">office@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:nikki@goochfirm.com">nikki@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:nikki@goochfirm.com">nikki@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:nikki@goochfirm.com">nikki@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:nikki@goochfirm.com">nikki@goochfirm.com</a>)

Mr. Duhlberg;

I have your attachment and am deeply offended by it.

I more upset over being ordered to call you today. I am preparing for trial and frankly don't have time to read or comment on your attempts to educate me on what legal malpractice is all about, I particularly don't have time top read outdated cases on the elements of a legal malpractice case, nor do I have any intention of quoting the law you sent to me.

You understand full well I'm sure that I have been doing this for a very long time, if I need help on understanding the law I will get from someone who knows how to do legal research, you and your brother don't.

If I have anymore of this authoritative comments or instructions I will have to give particular thought to withdrawing my appearance and letting you represent your self or find someone else, understand this is not an empty threat, I will tolerate any more of this. If I need a factual question answered and I'm sure I will in the course of this litigation then I will ask you but kindly stop with rudimentary research. The Google searches of you and your brother are not replacements for my law license.

I generally don't have a proble3m with relatives helping out and being involved just so long as the client understands that the relatives involvement may waive the attorney client privilege. However at this point your brother has become more the problem then helpful. While I can not prevent him from injecting himself into your case through you, I am no longer willing to have him present at conferences or communicate directly with me.

At this point with everything I have going and the attitude you are displaying I have serious doubts as continuing to represent you. Kindly do not communicate with my staff on the telephone in the manner you chose today

Sincerely

Thomas W Gooch The Gooch Firm 209 S. Main Street
Wauconda, Illinois 60084
847.526.0110
Gooch@goochfirm.com
WWW.Goochfirm.com

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 9:11 AM

**To:** Thomas W. Gooch III <<u>gooch@goochfirm.com></u>; Sabina Walczyk <u><swalczyk@goochfirm.com></u>;

Office Office <a href="mailto:office@goochfirm.com">office@goochfirm.com</a>; Nikki <a href="mailto:nikki@goochfirm.com">nikki@goochfirm.com</a>>

Subject: Fwd: from tom

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

----- Forwarded Message -----

**Subject:**from tom

Pate:Tue, 2 Oct 2018 07:32:00 -0500
From:T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
 To:me cpdulberg@comcast.net>

From: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net @

Subject: Re: from tom

Date: October 3, 2018 at 11:02 AM

To: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com, Sabina Walczyk swalczyk@goochfirm.com, Office Office@goochfirm.com,

Nikki nikki@goochfirm.com

Hello Tom and Sabina,

I didn't understand the last email I received so I need some clarification. I was never rude or not courteous to your staff and your staff was always courteous to me. Yesterday I talked with Nikki briefly just to confirm that the office received the email and find out when I should expect to receive the second amended brief for review. She was friendly and courteous. I said nothing rude or offensive.

I never ordered you or anyone to call me yesterday. I honestly don't know why you believe I did. I was not aware there was anything offensive in the attachment I sent. As I read it again I still can't see anything offensive in it.

As you know I have a permanent disability. You may not know I am on medication to control pain and spasms and this medication does not allow me to focus on complex subjects for a prolonged time. Since I do not understand your last email and I don't have much time before appearing in court I need to know where I stand.

Are you thinking of not continuing to represent me in this case?

Are you going to submit a second amended complaint on October 10 and appear in court?

Will I be given enough time to review the complaint before it is submitted?

May I comment on it or request changes to it or ask questions about it?

I do not want to offend anyone, so I need to know what I can comment on or ask questions about.

I have no memory of any inappropriate behavior when talking to Nikki yesterday. Please let me know how I can communicate with your staff or what I can include in an email in the future so you are not offended again.

Sorry if I did anything wrong.

Sincerely,

Paul Dulberg

On 10/2/2018 1:06 PM, Thomas W. Gooch III wrote:

Mr. Duhlberg;

I have your attachment and am deeply offended by it.

I more upset over being ordered to call you today. I am preparing for trial and frankly don't have time to read or comment on your attempts to educate me on what legal malpractice is all about, I particularly don't have time top read outdated cases on the elements of a legal malpractice case, nor do I have any intention of quoting the law you sent to me.

You understand full well I'm sure that I have been doing this for a very long time, if I need help on understanding the law I will get from someone who knows how to do legal research, you and your brother don't.

If I have anymore of this authoritative comments or instructions I will have to give particular thought to withdrawing my appearance and letting you represent your self or find someone else, understand this is not an empty threat, I will tolerate any more of this. If I need a factual



question answered and I'm sure I will in the course of this litigation then I will ask you but kindly stop with rudimentary research. The Google searches of you and your brother are not replacements for my law license.

I generally don't have a proble3m with relatives helping out and being involved just so long as the client understands that the relatives involvement may waive the attorney client privilege. However at this point your brother has become more the problem then helpful. While I can not prevent him from injecting himself into your case through you, I am no longer willing to have him present at conferences or communicate directly with me.

At this point with everything I have going and the attitude you are displaying I have serious doubts as continuing to represent you. Kindly do not communicate with my staff on the telephone in the manner you chose today

Sincerely

Thomas W Gooch The Gooch Firm 209 S. Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 847.526.0110

Gooch@goochfirm.com WWW.Goochfirm.com

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any

this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments. Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice

contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 9:11 AM

**To:** Thomas W. Gooch III <u><gooch@goochfirm.com></u>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki

<nikki@goochfirm.com>

# Subject: Fwd: from tom

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject:from tom

see attached



second\_amende d\_complaint.txt

18 KB

From: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net @

Subject: Fwd: Re: from tom
Date: October 3, 2018 at 12:51 PM

Pate: October 3, 2018 at 12:51 PN To: tkost999@gmail.com



----- Forwarded Message ------- Subject:Re: from tom

Date:Wed, 3 Oct 2018 11:02:09 -0500 From:Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:cpdu/cheng">cpdu/cheng@comcast.net</a>>

To:Thomas W. Gooch III <a href="mailto:square: gooch@goochfirm.com">square: gooch@goochfirm.com</a>, Office

Office <office@goochfirm.com>, Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Hello Tom and Sabina.

I didn't understand the last email I received so I need some clarification. I was never rude or not courteous to your staff and your staff was always courteous to me. Yesterday I talked with Nikki briefly just to confirm that the office received the email and find out when I should expect to receive the second amended brief for review. She was friendly and courteous. I said nothing rude or offensive.

I never ordered you or anyone to call me yesterday. I honestly don't know why you believe I did. I was not aware there was anything offensive in the attachment I sent. As I read it again I still can't see anything offensive in it.

As you know I have a permanent disability. You may not know I am on medication to control pain and spasms and this medication does not allow me to focus on complex subjects for a prolonged time. Since I do not understand your last email and I don't have much time before appearing in court I need to know where I stand.

Are you thinking of not continuing to represent me in this case?

Are you going to submit a second amended complaint on October 10 and appear in court?

Will I be given enough time to review the complaint before it is submitted?

May I comment on it or request changes to it or ask questions about it?

I do not want to offend anyone, so I need to know what I can comment on or ask questions about.

I have no memory of any inappropriate behavior when talking to Nikki yesterday. Please let me know how I can communicate with your staff or what I can include in an email in the future so you are not offended again.

Sorry if I did anything wrong.

Sincerely,

Paul Dulberg

On 10/2/2018 1:06 PM, Thomas W. Gooch III wrote:

Mr. Duhlberg;

I have your attachment and am deeply offended by it.

I more upset over being ordered to call you today. I am preparing for trial and frankly don't have time to read or comment on your attempts to educate me on what legal malpractice is all about, I particularly don't have time top read outdated cases on the elements of a legal malpractice case, nor do I have any intention of quoting the law you sent to me

maipractice case, not all thave any intention of quoting the law you sent to me.

You understand full well I'm sure that I have been doing this for a very long time, if I need help on understanding the law I will get from someone who knows how to do legal research, you and your brother don't.

If I have anymore of this authoritative comments or instructions I will have to give particular thought to withdrawing my appearance and letting you represent your self or find someone else, understand this is not an empty threat, I will tolerate any more of this. If I need a factual question answered and I'm sure I will in the course of this litigation then I will ask you but kindly stop with rudimentary research. The Google searches of you and your brother are not replacements for my law license.

I generally don't have a proble3m with relatives helping out and being involved just so long as the client understands that the relatives involvement may waive the attorney client privilege. However at this point your brother has become more the problem then helpful. While I can not prevent him from injecting himself into your case through you, I am no longer willing to have him present at conferences or communicate directly with me.

At this point with everything I have going and the attitude you are displaying I have serious doubts as continuing to represent you. Kindly do not communicate with my staff on the telephone in the manner you chose today

#### Sincerely

Thomas W Gooch
The Gooch Firm
209 S. Main Street
Wauconda, Illinois 60084
847.526.0110
Gooch@goochfirm.com
WWW.Goochfirm.com

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments. Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 9:11 AM

**To:** Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki

<a href="mailto:</a><a href="mailto:snikki@goochfirm.com">snikki@goochfirm.com</a>>
<a href="mailto:snikki@goochfirm.com">snikki@goochfirm.com</a>>
<a href="mailto:snikki@goochfirm.com">snikki@goochfirm.com</a>>

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

----- Forwarded Message ------

**Subject:**from tom

see attached



second\_amende d\_complaint.txt

18 KB

From: Thomas W. Gooch III gooch@goochfirm.com

Subject: RE: from tom

Date: October 3, 2018 at 12:56 PMTo: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net

As you know I have a permanent disability. You may not know I am on medication to control pain and spasms and this medication does not allow me to focus on complex subjects for a prolonged time. Since I do not understand your last email and I don't have much time before appearing in court I need to know where I stand.

You seem to have been very focused when you delivered to me your research notes on the elements of legal malpractice, not that I need the written lecture on what legal malpractice consists of

Are you thinking of not continuing to represent me in this case?

Yes I am considering withdrawing on your behalf. I need no research from you on legal malpractice answering my questions on facts is helpful when I ask. I want no more involvement from your brother, Obviously he can talk to you all you want, I can't prevent that but if I perceive further interference from him then I will have to re-evaluate my continued ability to competently represent you. I will not allow him to be here in my office for any purpose.

Are you going to submit a second amended complaint on October 10 and appear in court?

We may seek an extension, we appear on court dates as a general rule always. You do not and have not had any court dates that require your appearance.

Will I be given enough time to review the complaint before it is submitted?

When I determine the complaint is in my opinion legally sufficient it gets filed, naturally you will get a copy of it for your records.

May I comment on it or request changes to it or ask questions about it?

You, not your brother, can ask all the questions you wish. I generally do not ask a client if a complaint is legally sufficient, nor do I want a client drafting a complaint that I have to sign. Most clients do not know the difference between pleading conclusions of law or fact, pleading evidence or the correct pleading of ultimate material factual allegations. In as much as you have advised you are on pain medicine unable to "focus on complex subjects I question how much you could help in any event. I can get a lot done when I don't have to answer emails like this one.

I do not want to offend anyone, so I need to know what I can comment on or ask questions about.

Making demands and lecturing me on the law are greats way to be offensive, likewise

demanding to know when you will be called and comments about caring about anyone else we represent or other cases is not conducive to not offending us.

gooch

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2018 11:02 AM

To: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk <swalczyk@goochfirm.com>;

Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Subject: Re: from tom

Hello Tom and Sabina,

I didn't understand the last email I received so I need some clarification. I was never rude or not courteous to your staff and your staff was always courteous to me. Yesterday I talked with Nikki briefly just to confirm that the office received the email and find out when I should expect to receive the second amended brief for review. She was friendly and courteous. I said nothing rude or offensive.

I never ordered you or anyone to call me yesterday. I honestly don't know why you believe I did. I was not aware there was anything offensive in the attachment I sent. As I read it again I still can't see anything offensive in it.

As you know I have a permanent disability. You may not know I am on medication to control pain and spasms and this medication does not allow me to focus on complex subjects for a prolonged time. Since I do not understand your last email and I don't have much time before appearing in court I need to know where I stand.

Are you thinking of not continuing to represent me in this case?

Are you going to submit a second amended complaint on October 10 and appear in court?

Will I be given enough time to review the complaint before it is submitted?

May I comment on it or request changes to it or ask questions about it?

I do not want to offend anyone, so I need to know what I can comment on or ask questions about.

I have no memory of any inappropriate behavior when talking to Nikki yesterday. Please let me know how I can communicate with your staff or what I can include in an email in the future so you are not offended again.

Sorry if I did anything wrong.

Sincerely,

Paul Dulberg

On 10/2/2018 1:06 PM, Thomas W. Gooch III wrote:

Mr. Duhlberg;

I have your attachment and am deeply offended by it.

I mare uncert over being ardered to call you today. I am preparing for trial and

frankly don't have time to read or comment on your attempts to educate me on what legal malpractice is all about, I particularly don't have time top read outdated cases on the elements of a legal malpractice case, nor do I have any intention of quoting the law you sent to me.

You understand full well I'm sure that I have been doing this for a very long time, if I need help on understanding the law I will get from someone who knows how to do legal research, you and your brother don't.

If I have anymore of this authoritative comments or instructions I will have to give particular thought to withdrawing my appearance and letting you represent your self or find someone else, understand this is not an empty threat, I will tolerate any more of this. If I need a factual question answered and I'm sure I will in the course of this litigation then I will ask you but kindly stop with rudimentary research. The Google searches of you and your brother are not replacements for my law license.

I generally don't have a proble3m with relatives helping out and being involved just so long as the client understands that the relatives involvement may waive the attorney client privilege. However at this point your brother has become more the problem then helpful. While I can not prevent him from injecting himself into your case through you, I am no longer willing to have him present at conferences or communicate directly with me.

At this point with everything I have going and the attitude you are displaying I have serious doubts as continuing to represent you. Kindly do not communicate with my staff on the telephone in the manner you chose today

#### Sincerely

Thomas W Gooch
The Gooch Firm
209 S. Main Street
Wauconda, Illinois 60084
847.526.0110
Gooch@goochfirm.com
WWW.Goochfirm.com

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the

purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 9:11 AM

**To:** Thomas W. Gooch III <<u>gooch@goochfirm.com></u>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki

<a href="mailto:</a><a href="mailto:snikki@goochfirm.com">snikki@goochfirm.com</a>><a href="m

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject:from tom

Date:Tue, 2 Oct 2018 07:32:00 -0500
From:T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
 To:me pdulberg@comcast.net>

From: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net

Subject: Fwd: RE: from tom
Date: October 3, 2018 at 1:15 PM
To: tkost999@gmail.com



----- Forwarded Message ------ Subject:RE: from tom

Date:Wed, 3 Oct 2018 17:54:40 +0000

From: Thomas W. Gooch III < gooch@goochfirm.com>

To:Paul Dulberg <pddberg@comcast.net>

As you know I have a permanent disability. You may not know I am on medication to control pain and spasms and this medication does not allow me to focus on complex subjects for a prolonged time. Since I do not understand your last email and I don't have much time before appearing in court I need to know where I stand.

You seem to have been very focused when you delivered to me your research notes on the elements of legal malpractice, not that I need the written lecture on what legal malpractice consists of

Are you thinking of not continuing to represent me in this case?

Yes I am considering withdrawing on your behalf. I need no research from you on legal malpractice answering my questions on facts is helpful when I ask. I want no more involvement from your brother, Obviously he can talk to you all you want, I can't prevent that but if I perceive further interference from him then I will have to re-evaluate my continued ability to competently represent you. I will not allow him to be here in my office for any purpose.

Are you going to submit a second amended complaint on October 10 and appear in court?

We may seek an extension, we appear on court dates as a general rule always. You do not and have not had any court dates that require your appearance.

Will I be given enough time to review the complaint before it is submitted?

When I determine the complaint is in my opinion legally sufficient it gets filed, naturally you will get a copy of it for your records.

May I comment on it or request changes to it or ask questions about it?

You, not your brother, can ask all the questions you wish. I generally do not ask a client if a complaint is legally sufficient, nor do I want a client drafting a complaint that I have to sign. Most clients do not know the difference between pleading conclusions of law or fact, pleading evidence or the correct pleading of ultimate material factual allegations. In as

much as you have advised you are on pain medicine unable to "focus on complex subjects I question how much you could help in any event. I can get a lot done when I don't have to answer emails like this one.

I do not want to offend anyone, so I need to know what I can comment on or ask questions about.

Making demands and lecturing me on the law are greats way to be offensive, likewise demanding to know when you will be called and comments about caring about anyone else we represent or other cases is not conducive to not offending us.

gooch

From: Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:cpdulberg@comcast.net">pdulberg@comcast.net</a> Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2018 11:02 AM

**To:** Thomas W. Gooch III <<u>gooch@goochfirm.com></u>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki

<a href="mailto:</a><a href="mailto:snikki@goochfirm.com">snikki@goochfirm.com</a>><a href="m

Hello Tom and Sabina

I didn't understand the last email I received so I need some clarification. I was never rude or not courteous to your staff and your staff was always courteous to me. Yesterday I talked with Nikki briefly just to confirm that the office received the email and find out when I should expect to receive the second amended brief for review. She was friendly and courteous. I said nothing rude or offensive

I never ordered you or anyone to call me yesterday. I honestly don't know why you believe I did. I was not aware there was anything offensive in the attachment I sent. As I read it again I still can't see anything offensive in it.

As you know I have a permanent disability. You may not know I am on medication to control pain and spasms and this medication does not allow me to focus on complex subjects for a prolonged time. Since I do not understand your last email and I don't have much time before appearing in court I need to know where I stand.

Are you thinking of not continuing to represent me in this case?

Are you going to submit a second amended complaint on October 10 and appear in court?

Will I be given enough time to review the complaint before it is submitted?

May I comment on it or request changes to it or ask questions about it?

I do not want to offend anyone, so I need to know what I can comment on or ask questions about.

I have no memory of any inappropriate behavior when talking to Nikki yesterday. Please let me know how I can communicate with your staff or what I can include in an email in the future so you are not offended again.

Sorry if I did anything wrong.

Sincerely,

Paul Dulberg

#### On 10/2/2018 1:06 PM, Thomas W. Gooch III wrote:

Mr. Duhlberg;

I have your attachment and am deeply offended by it.

I more upset over being ordered to call you today. I am preparing for trial and frankly don't have time to read or comment on your attempts to educate me on what legal malpractice is all about, I particularly don't have time top read outdated cases on the elements of a legal malpractice case, nor do I have any intention of quoting the law you sent to me.

You understand full well I'm sure that I have been doing this for a very long time, if I need help on understanding the law I will get from someone who knows how to do legal research, you and your brother don't.

If I have anymore of this authoritative comments or instructions I will have to give particular thought to withdrawing my appearance and letting you represent your self or find someone else, understand this is not an empty threat, I will tolerate any more of this. If I need a factual question answered and I'm sure I will in the course of this litigation then I will ask you but kindly stop with rudimentary research. The Google searches of you and your brother are not replacements for my law license.

I generally don't have a proble3m with relatives helping out and being involved just so long as the client understands that the relatives involvement may waive the attorney client privilege. However at this point your brother has become more the problem then helpful. While I can not prevent him from injecting himself into your case through you, I am no longer willing to have him present at conferences or communicate directly with me.

At this point with everything I have going and the attitude you are displaying I have serious doubts as continuing to represent you. Kindly do not communicate with my staff on the telephone in the manner you chose today

# Sincerely

Thomas W Gooch
The Gooch Firm
209 S. Main Street
Wauconda, Illinois 60084
847.526.0110
Gooch@goochfirm.com
WWW Goochfirm.com

VV VV VV. GOOGHIII III. COIII

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

From: Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:cpdulberg@comcast.net">cpdulberg@comcast.net</a> Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 9:11 AM

To: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki

<a href="mailto:</a><a href="mailto:subject"><a href="mailto:subject">mailto:subject</a><a href="mailto:subject"><a href="mailto:subject">mailto:subject</a><a href="mailto:sub

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

------ Forwarded Message ------

Subject: from tom

From: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net @

Subject: Fwd: RE: from tom
Date: October 3, 2018 at 1:25 PM

To: Mike Mcartor mike937031@gmail.com



can you please print out this email and its attachment for me? thank you

----- Forwarded Message ------ Subject:RE: from tom

Date:Wed, 3 Oct 2018 17:54:40 +0000

From: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>

To:Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>

As you know I have a permanent disability. You may not know I am on medication to control pain and spasms and this medication does not allow me to focus on complex subjects for a prolonged time. Since I do not understand your last email and I don't have much time before appearing in court I need to know where I stand.

You seem to have been very focused when you delivered to me your research notes on the elements of legal malpractice, not that I need the written lecture on what legal malpractice consists of

Are you thinking of not continuing to represent me in this case?

Yes I am considering withdrawing on your behalf. I need no research from you on legal malpractice answering my questions on facts is helpful when I ask. I want no more involvement from your brother, Obviously he can talk to you all you want, I can't prevent that but if I perceive further interference from him then I will have to re-evaluate my continued ability to competently represent you. I will not allow him to be here in my office for any purpose.

Are you going to submit a second amended complaint on October 10 and appear in court?

We may seek an extension, we appear on court dates as a general rule always. You do not and have not had any court dates that require your appearance.

Will I be given enough time to review the complaint before it is submitted?

When I determine the complaint is in my opinion legally sufficient it gets filed, naturally you will get a copy of it for your records.

May I comment on it or request changes to it or ask questions about it?

You, not your brother, can ask all the questions you wish. I generally do not ask a client if a complaint is legally sufficient, nor do I want a client drafting a complaint that I have to sign. Most clients do not know the difference between pleading conclusions of law or fact,

pleading evidence or the correct pleading of ultimate material factual allegations. In as much as you have advised you are on pain medicine unable to "focus on complex subjects I question how much you could help in any event. I can get a lot done when I don't have to answer emails like this one.

I do not want to offend anyone, so I need to know what I can comment on or ask questions about.

Making demands and lecturing me on the law are greats way to be offensive, likewise demanding to know when you will be called and comments about caring about anyone else we represent or other cases is not conducive to not offending us.

gooch

From: Paul Dulberg cpdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2018 11:02 AM

**To:** Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki

<a href="mailto:snikki@goochfirm.com">snikki@goochfirm.com</a>
<a href="mailto:snikki@goochfi

Hello Tom and Sabina,

I didn't understand the last email I received so I need some clarification. I was never rude or not courteous to your staff and your staff was always courteous to me. Yesterday I talked with Nikki briefly just to confirm that the office received the email and find out when I should expect to recieve the second amended brief for review. She was friendly and courteous. I said nothing rude or offensive

I never ordered you or anyone to call me yesterday. I honestly don't know why you believe I did. I was not aware there was anything offensive in the attachment I sent. As I read it again I still can't see anything offensive in it.

As you know I have a permanent disability. You may not know I am on medication to control pain and spasms and this medication does not allow me to focus on complex subjects for a prolonged time. Since I do not understand your last email and I don't have much time before appearing in court I need to know where I stand.

Are you thinking of not continuing to represent me in this case?

Are you going to submit a second amended complaint on October 10 and appear in court?

Will I be given enough time to review the complaint before it is submitted?

May I comment on it or request changes to it or ask questions about it?

I do not want to offend anyone, so I need to know what I can comment on or ask questions about.

I have no memory of any inappropriate behavior when talking to Nikki yesterday. Please let me know how I can communicate with your staff or what I can include in an email in the future so you are not offended again.

Sincerely,

Paul Dulberg

On 10/2/2018 1:06 PM, Thomas W. Gooch III wrote:

Mr. Duhlberg;

I have your attachment and am deeply offended by it.

I more upset over being ordered to call you today. I am preparing for trial and frankly don't have time to read or comment on your attempts to educate me on what legal malpractice is all about, I particularly don't have time top read outdated cases on the elements of a legal malpractice case, nor do I have any intention of quoting the law you sent to me.

You understand full well I'm sure that I have been doing this for a very long time, if I need help on understanding the law I will get from someone who knows how to do legal research, you and your brother don't.

If I have anymore of this authoritative comments or instructions I will have to give particular thought to withdrawing my appearance and letting you represent your self or find someone else, understand this is not an empty threat, I will tolerate any more of this. If I need a factual question answered and I'm sure I will in the course of this litigation then I will ask you but kindly stop with rudimentary research. The Google searches of you and your brother are not replacements for my law license.

I generally don't have a proble3m with relatives helping out and being involved just so long as the client understands that the relatives involvement may waive the attorney client privilege. However at this point your brother has become more the problem then helpful. While I can not prevent him from injecting himself into your case through you, I am no longer willing to have him present at conferences or communicate directly with me.

At this point with everything I have going and the attitude you are displaying I have serious doubts as continuing to represent you. Kindly do not communicate with my staff on the telephone in the manner you chose today

Sincerely

Thomas W Gooch
The Gooch Firm
209 S. Main Street
Wauconda, Illinois 60084
847.526.0110
Gooch@goochfirm.com

#### WWW.Goochfirm.com

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 9:11 AM

To: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki

<nikki@goochfirm.com> Subject: Fwd: from tom

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject: from tom

Date:Tue, 2 Oct 2018 07:32:00 -0500 From:T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> To:me <pdulberg@comcast.net>



```
d_complaint.txt
18 KB
```

From: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net

Subject: Fwd: RE: from tom
Date: October 8, 2018 at 12:51 PM
To: paul\_dulberg@comcast.net



----- Forwarded Message ------**Subject:**RE: from tom

Date:Tue, 2 Oct 2018 18:06:07 +0000

From: Thomas W. Gooch III < gooch@goochfirm.com>

To:Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:pduberg@comcast.net">pduberg@comcast.net</a>, Sabina Walczyk <a href="mailto:swalczyk@goochfirm.com">swalczyk@goochfirm.com</a>, Office Office

<office@goochfirm.com>, Nikki <nikki@goochfirm.com>

Mr. Duhlberg;

I have your attachment and am deeply offended by it.

I more upset over being ordered to call you today. I am preparing for trial and frankly don't have time to read or comment on your attempts to educate me on what legal malpractice is all about, I particularly don't have time top read outdated cases on the elements of a legal malpractice case, nor do I have any intention of quoting the law you sent to me.

You understand full well I'm sure that I have been doing this for a very long time, if I need help on understanding the law I will get from someone who knows how to do legal research, you and your brother don't.

If I have anymore of this authoritative comments or instructions I will have to give particular thought to withdrawing my appearance and letting you represent your self or find someone else, understand this is not an empty threat, I will tolerate any more of this. If I need a factual question answered and I'm sure I will in the course of this litigation then I will ask you but kindly stop with rudimentary research. The Google searches of you and your brother are not replacements for my law license.

I generally don't have a proble3m with relatives helping out and being involved just so long as the client understands that the relatives involvement may waive the attorney client privilege. However at this point your brother has become more the problem then helpful. While I can not prevent him from injecting himself into your case through you, I am no longer willing to have him present at conferences or communicate directly with me.

At this point with everything I have going and the attitude you are displaying I have serious doubts as continuing to represent you. Kindly do not communicate with my staff on the telephone in the manner you chose today

Sincerely

Thomas W Gooch The Gooch Firm 209 S. Main Street
Wauconda, Illinois 60084
847.526.0110
Gooch@goochfirm.com
WWW.Goochfirm.com

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 9:11 AM

**To:** Thomas W. Gooch III <<u>gooch@goochfirm.com></u>; Sabina Walczyk <u><swalczyk@goochfirm.com></u>;

Office Office <a href="mailto:office@goochfirm.com">office@goochfirm.com</a>; Nikki <a href="mailto:nikki@goochfirm.com">nikki@goochfirm.com</a>>

Subject: Fwd: from tom

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject:from tom

Date:Tue, 2 Oct 2018 07:32:00 -0500
From:T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
 To:me pdulberg@comcast.net>

From: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net

Subject: Fwd: RE: from tom
Date: October 8, 2018 at 12:51 PM
To: paul\_dulberg@comcast.net



----- Forwarded Message -----Subject:RE: from tom

Date:Wed, 3 Oct 2018 17:54:40 +0000

From: Thomas W. Gooch III < gooch@goochfirm.com>

To:Paul Dulberg <pddberg@comcast.net>

As you know I have a permanent disability. You may not know I am on medication to control pain and spasms and this medication does not allow me to focus on complex subjects for a prolonged time. Since I do not understand your last email and I don't have much time before appearing in court I need to know where I stand.

You seem to have been very focused when you delivered to me your research notes on the elements of legal malpractice, not that I need the written lecture on what legal malpractice consists of

Are you thinking of not continuing to represent me in this case?

Yes I am considering withdrawing on your behalf. I need no research from you on legal malpractice answering my questions on facts is helpful when I ask. I want no more involvement from your brother, Obviously he can talk to you all you want, I can't prevent that but if I perceive further interference from him then I will have to re-evaluate my continued ability to competently represent you. I will not allow him to be here in my office for any purpose.

Are you going to submit a second amended complaint on October 10 and appear in court?

We may seek an extension, we appear on court dates as a general rule always. You do not and have not had any court dates that require your appearance.

Will I be given enough time to review the complaint before it is submitted?

When I determine the complaint is in my opinion legally sufficient it gets filed, naturally you will get a copy of it for your records.

May I comment on it or request changes to it or ask questions about it?

You, not your brother, can ask all the questions you wish. I generally do not ask a client if a complaint is legally sufficient, nor do I want a client drafting a complaint that I have to sign. Most clients do not know the difference between pleading conclusions of law or fact, pleading evidence or the correct pleading of ultimate material factual allegations. In as

much as you have advised you are on pain medicine unable to "focus on complex subjects I question how much you could help in any event. I can get a lot done when I don't have to answer emails like this one.

I do not want to offend anyone, so I need to know what I can comment on or ask questions about.

Making demands and lecturing me on the law are greats way to be offensive, likewise demanding to know when you will be called and comments about caring about anyone else we represent or other cases is not conducive to not offending us.

gooch

From: Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:cpdulberg@comcast.net">pdulberg@comcast.net</a> Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2018 11:02 AM

**To:** Thomas W. Gooch III <<u>gooch@goochfirm.com></u>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki

<a href="mailto:</a><a href="mailto:snikki@goochfirm.com">snikki@goochfirm.com</a>><a href="m

Hello Tom and Sabina

I didn't understand the last email I received so I need some clarification. I was never rude or not courteous to your staff and your staff was always courteous to me. Yesterday I talked with Nikki briefly just to confirm that the office received the email and find out when I should expect to receive the second amended brief for review. She was friendly and courteous. I said nothing rude or offensive

I never ordered you or anyone to call me yesterday. I honestly don't know why you believe I did. I was not aware there was anything offensive in the attachment I sent. As I read it again I still can't see anything offensive in it.

As you know I have a permanent disability. You may not know I am on medication to control pain and spasms and this medication does not allow me to focus on complex subjects for a prolonged time. Since I do not understand your last email and I don't have much time before appearing in court I need to know where I stand.

Are you thinking of not continuing to represent me in this case?

Are you going to submit a second amended complaint on October 10 and appear in court?

Will I be given enough time to review the complaint before it is submitted?

May I comment on it or request changes to it or ask questions about it?

I do not want to offend anyone, so I need to know what I can comment on or ask questions about.

I have no memory of any inappropriate behavior when talking to Nikki yesterday. Please let me know how I can communicate with your staff or what I can include in an email in the future so you are not offended again.

Sorry if I did anything wrong.

Sincerely,

Paul Dulberg

#### On 10/2/2018 1:06 PM, Thomas W. Gooch III wrote:

Mr. Duhlberg;

I have your attachment and am deeply offended by it.

I more upset over being ordered to call you today. I am preparing for trial and frankly don't have time to read or comment on your attempts to educate me on what legal malpractice is all about, I particularly don't have time top read outdated cases on the elements of a legal malpractice case, nor do I have any intention of quoting the law you sent to me.

You understand full well I'm sure that I have been doing this for a very long time, if I need help on understanding the law I will get from someone who knows how to do legal research, you and your brother don't.

If I have anymore of this authoritative comments or instructions I will have to give particular thought to withdrawing my appearance and letting you represent your self or find someone else, understand this is not an empty threat, I will tolerate any more of this. If I need a factual question answered and I'm sure I will in the course of this litigation then I will ask you but kindly stop with rudimentary research. The Google searches of you and your brother are not replacements for my law license.

I generally don't have a proble3m with relatives helping out and being involved just so long as the client understands that the relatives involvement may waive the attorney client privilege. However at this point your brother has become more the problem then helpful. While I can not prevent him from injecting himself into your case through you, I am no longer willing to have him present at conferences or communicate directly with me.

At this point with everything I have going and the attitude you are displaying I have serious doubts as continuing to represent you. Kindly do not communicate with my staff on the telephone in the manner you chose today

# Sincerely

Thomas W Gooch
The Gooch Firm
209 S. Main Street
Wauconda, Illinois 60084
847.526.0110
Gooch@goochfirm.com
WWW Goochfirm.com

VV VV VV. GOOGHIII III. COIII

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

From: Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:cpdulberg@comcast.net">cpdulberg@comcast.net</a> Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 9:11 AM

To: Thomas W. Gooch III <gooch@goochfirm.com>; Sabina Walczyk

<swalczyk@goochfirm.com>; Office Office <office@goochfirm.com>; Nikki

<a href="mailto:</a><a href="mailto:subject"><a href="mailto:subject">mailto:subject</a><a href="mailto:subject"><a href="mailto:subject">mailto:subject</a><a href="mailto:sub

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

------ Forwarded Message ------

Subject: from tom

From: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net @

Subject: Fwd: Fwd: from tom
Date: October 8, 2018 at 12:55 PM
To: paul\_dulberg@comcast.net



----- Forwarded Message ------ Subject:Fwd: from tom

Date:Tue, 2 Oct 2018 09:11:13 -0500

From:Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:cpdulberg@comcast.net">cpdulberg@comcast.net</a>

**To:**Thomas W. Gooch III <a href="mailto:square: gooch@goochfirm.com">goochfirm.com</a>, Sabina Walczyk <a href="mailto:swalczyk@goochfirm.com">swalczyk@goochfirm.com</a>, Office Office <a href="mailto:square: goochfirm.com">office@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:suare: goochfirm.com">nikki@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:suare: goochfirm.com">nikki@goochfirm.com</a>), office <a href="mailto:suare: goochfirm.com">office@goochfirm.com</a>, Nikki <a href="mailto:suare: goochfirm.com">nikki@goochfirm.com</a>), office <a href="mailto:suare: goochfirm.com">office@goochfirm.com</a>), nikki <a href="mailto:suare: goochfirm.com">nikki@goochfirm.com</a>)

Hi Tom and Sabina, Please see the attached file. contact me with any questions. Thank you, Paul

----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject:from tom

Date:Tue, 2 Oct 2018 07:32:00 -0500
From:T Kost <a href="mailto:<a href="mailto:tkost999@gmail.com">tkost999@gmail.com</a>
To:me <a href="mailto:tkost999@gmail.com">pdulberg@comcast.net</a>

see attached



second\_amende d\_complaint.txt Subject: Fwd: Middle of Gooch communications
Date: November 17, 2018 at 12:11 PM
To: juliawilliams@clintonlaw.net

Cc: ed@clintonlaw.net



From: Paul Dulberg pdulberg@comcast.net @

Subject: Fwd: Sent emails to Gooch End Date: November 17, 2018 at 12:23 PM To: juliawilliams@clintonlaw.net

Cc: ed@clintonlaw.net



